### 11/15/77 [2]

Folder Citation: Collection: Office of Staff Secretary; Series: Presidential Files; Folder: 11/15/77 [2]; Container 51

To See Complete Finding Aid:

 $\underline{http://www.jimmycarterlibrary.gov/library/findingaids/Staff\_Secretary.pdf}$ 

November 15, 1977

TO:

Dr. Brzezinski

FROM:

Rick Hutcheson

Regarding your memorandum concerning a proposed meeting with leaders of the Association of Arab-American University Graduates, et al, Tim Kraft recommends that "sometime in February" be as early and as specific as we want to be at this time.





V. t t 171/

#### Mr. President:

There are too many uncertainties in January---trip dates, meetings with Committee chairmen, the start of the session ---to be making agreements in principle for different groups.

I recommend that "sometime in February" be as early and as specific as we be, at this point.

TKTK

# **CONFIDENTIAL**

7110 Add-on#1

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

SCHEDULE PROPOSAL

DATE: November 14, 1977

FROM: ZBIGNIEW BRZEZINSKI

VIA: TIM KRAFT

#### **CONFIDENTIAL** GDS

MEETING:

With leaders of the Association of Arab-American University Graduates and the National Association

of Arab Americans

DATE:

Exact date to be determined (early 1978)

PURPOSE:

Hear views of Arab-Americans on U.S. foreign

policy in the Middle East

FORMAT:

Exact format to be determined. Possible 45-minute meeting with Dr. Brzezinski and/or Secretary Vance in the Roosevelt Room, with President to stop in for approximately 10 minutes

CABINET

PARTICIPATION:

Secretary Vance

SPEECH MATERIAL:

To be provided

PRESS COVERAGE:

White House photographer opportunity

STAFF:

Zbigniew Brzezinski

RECOMMEND:

Secretary Vance Dr. Brzezinski

OPPOSED:

None

**PREVIOUS** 

PARTICIPATION:

None

BACKGROUND:

There have been numerous requests for a meeting with the President from Arab-Americans. Secretary Vance will meet with a group of 25 on November 18th.

Recommend your approval of his informing them at that time that you agree in principle to meet with a smaller group early next year.

APPROVE

DISAPPROVE

-CONFIDENTIAL GDS

-CONFIDENTIAL Jung 2/1/20

20

November 15, 1977

### Stu Eizenstat

The attached was returned in the President's outbox. It is forwarded to you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Zbig Brzezinski

RE: YEAR OF THE ECONOMY

CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT





# Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes

**CONFIDENTIAL** 

CONFIDENTIAL GDS

7378

THE WHITE HOUSE

INFORMATION

WASHINGTON

November 9, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

HENRY OWEN X

SUBJECT:

"Year of the Economy"

You were wise to make 1977 the Year of Energy -- both because the issue is important and because it is useful to order an administration's activities around a central theme and "subordinate other business to it," as Churchill once said. From a foreign policy standpoint, it would make sense to make 1978 the Year of the Economy -- for two reasons:

- 1. Economic Issues Are Now Central: In the industrial world stagflation may not pose as dramatic a danger as the Great Depression did in the 1930's, but it could eventually do as much to weaken moderate political forces in Europe and Japan, and thus to unhinge the existing international order. In the poorer developing countries, stagnation poses a serious long-term threat.
- 2. There is good potential for progress in meeting these problems in 1978. The single most important factor is the health of the US economy, and others can spell out the opportunities for action on this front. But there is a promising foreign agenda, whose fulfillment would promote US and world recovery:
  - -- concluding an MTN agreement;
- -- reforming our bilateral aid programs, which is a lot more important now than spending more money on these programs;
- -- setting up the new IMF \$10 billion lending facility, which is already in trouble on the Hill; progressing toward agreement on new IMF quotas; and getting agreement on a general increase in the resources of the World Bank;
- -- pushing for increased production abroad of commodities in short supply -- notably energy and food -- and trying to set up an international system of national grain resources;
- -- concerting with other oil-importing countries on measures to reduce energy consumption and thus restrain oil price increases;
- -- concerting with Japan and Western Europe about the industrial countries' macro-economic policies, and with Japan about a package of measures to reduce its external surplus.

Each of these measures is already in train. With Presidential leadership and a little luck, most of them could be brought to a successful conclusion next year. Taken together with the measures on the domestic front that others will propose to you, they would make the "Year of the Economy" one of substantial achievement.

CONFIDENTIAL GOS 247190

November 15, 1977

#### Jack Watson

The attached was returned in the President's outbox. It is forwarded to you for appropriate handling.

#### Rick Hutcheson

cc: Greg Schneiders

RE: FOLLOW-UP ON TOCCOA DAM

FAILURE





FOR STAFFING FOR INFORMATION

|            |  |           | 7 |     |     |     |                     |          | ENT'S OUTBO |             |
|------------|--|-----------|---|-----|-----|-----|---------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
|            |  |           |   | LOC | ; I | N/T | 0 ]                 | PΙ       | RESIDENT TO | DAY         |
| l ===      |  |           |   | IMN | 1ED | IAT | E '                 | ΓŢ       | JRNAROUND   |             |
| ACTION     |  | ľ         | 1 |     |     |     |                     |          |             |             |
| L          | Ы                                      |           |   |     |     |     |                     |          |             |             |
| 2          | FY                                     |           |   |     |     |     |                     |          |             |             |
| Ľ          |  |           |   |     |     |     |                     |          |             |             |
| L          |  | MONDALE   |   |     |     |     |                     |          | ENROLLED BI |             |
|            |  | COSTANZA  |   |     |     |     |                     |          | AGENCY REPO |             |
|            |  | EIZENSTAT |   |     |     |     |                     | <u> </u> | CAB DECISIO |             |
|            |  | JORDAN    |   |     |     |     |                     |          | EXECUTIVE O |             |
|            |  | LIPSHUTZ  |   |     |     |     |                     |          | Comments du |             |
|            |  | MOORE     |   |     |     |     |                     | (        | Carp/Huron  | within      |
| [ ]        |  | POWELL    |   |     |     |     |                     | 4        | 48 hours; d | ue to       |
|            |  | WATSON    |   |     |     |     |                     | ٤        | Staff Secre | tary        |
|            |  | LANCE     |   |     |     |     | ,                   | 1        | next day    |             |
|            |  | SCHULTZE  |   |     |     |     |                     | -        |             |             |
|            |  |           |   |     |     |     |                     |          | •           |             |
| <u>, —</u> |  | ADACON    |   |     |     | i   | 1                   | _        | IVD N TIM   | <del></del> |
|            |  | ARAGON    |   |     |     |     |                     | ┥        | KRAFT       | <del></del> |
|            | Н                                      | BOURNE    |   |     |     |     | $\vdash$            | +        | LINDER      |             |
| -          | $\vdash$                               | BRZEZINSK | Τ |     |     |     | $\vdash$            | 4        | MITCHELL    |             |
| <u> </u>   | -                                      | BUTLER    |   |     |     |     | $\vdash \vdash$     | 4        | MOE         |             |
| <b>—</b>   | -                                      | CARP      |   |     |     |     | $\vdash$            | 4        | PETERSON    |             |
| _          | -                                      | H. CARTER |   |     |     |     | $\vdash \downarrow$ | 4        | PETTIGREW   |             |
| <u></u>    | -                                      | CLOUGH    |   |     |     |     | $\vdash \downarrow$ | 4        | POSTON      | <del></del> |
| L          | ↓_                                     | FALLOWS   |   |     |     |     |                     | 4        | PRESS       |             |
| <b>L</b>   | 1                                      | FIRST LAD | Y |     |     |     | $\sqcup$            | _]       | SCHLESINGE  |             |
| L_         | 1_                                     | HARDEN    |   |     |     |     | Ц                   | 4        | SCHNEIDERS  |             |
|            | $\perp$                                | HUTCHESON |   |     |     |     | Ш                   | ┙        | STRAUSS     |             |
|            | ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ | JAGODA    |   |     |     |     |                     | ┙        | VOORDE      |             |
|            |  |           |   |     |     |     |                     |          |             |             |

KING

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE

November 14, 1977

Jack - be then some spent on the dames

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

JACK WATSON

SUBJECT:

Follow-up on Toccoa Dam Failure

After consultation with Frank Press and Governor Busbee, I have arranged for the Corps of Engineers to provide technical assistance to the State of Georgia in assessing the engineering, hydrologic and other factors which contributed to the Toccoa Dam failure. The study should take about four to six weeks.

Hecessary?

I have spoken with Cliff Alexander who personally visited the area last week and upon receipt of a request from me has given lead responsibility for the assessment assistance to the Southern Atlantic Division of the Corps. General informal assistance is also being provided to the Governor's Task Force on Dam Safety as it begins to develop a dam safety program which is expected to include licensing and state inspection.

For your information, a preliminary analysis of the Toccoa failure suggests that a combination of engineering, operational and maintenance problems caused the break. Apparently the dam was enlarged several years ago in a way which put additional stress on the original structure. In addition, the placement of temporary housing for Toccoa Falls Bible Institute was unfortunate even without a dam break since it was on the site of frequent annual flooding.

Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes

WASHINGTON November 10, 1977

Frank Moore

was signed 11/5/17

The attached was returned in the President's outbox. It is forwarded to you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Stu Eizenstat
Bob Linder

RE: PROCLAMATION -- ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION

THE PRESIDENT HAS SEEN.

THE WHITE HOUSE No - 4k for washington Same letter for

Mr. President:

Frank Moore and Stu Eizenstat have requested that you issue the attached proclamation observing the anniversary of the adoption of the Articles of Confederation, in response to the attached request from Congressman Goodling (R-Pa).

OMB reports that "under House rules, resolutions for commemorative proclamations may not be reported unless they have 218 co-sponsors. Accordingly, Members of Congress often ask the President to issue them on his own authority. We may expect more of the same. It would seem, therefore, that a letter to the Mayor of York or a press statement... would suffice. However, /Eizenstat's staff advises us that a promise has been made to Rep. Goodling."

Jim Fallows and I recommend that you not issue the proclamation, and instead, release a statement or letter. are already too many proclamations -issuing this one could open up the floodgates to many more congressional requests.

Rick

**Electrostatic Copy Made** for Preservation Purposes

# ANNIVERSARY OF THE ADOPTION OF THE ARTICLES OF CONFEDERATION

# BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA A PROCLAMATION

In the midst of our struggle for independence the Continental Congress, meeting in York, Pennsylvania, recognized that the new Nation would require a permanent central government. Not only was unity necessary if that struggle was to be successfully concluded, but it was essential if the new Nation was to be able to deal effectively with such matters as regulating trade, disposing of western lands, and controlling finance.

Although the colonists shared a common heritage and spoke a common language, their customs, traditions and economic needs varied. Because of this their loyalties were regional in nature. These differences were overcome and, on November 15, 1777, the Continental Congress adopted the Articles of Confederation.

The Articles of Confederation became our first constitution and served the new Nation from 1781, when they were ratified, until 1789. Much of what we learned about government during that period became part of our Constitution and our heritage.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, JIMMY CARTER, President of the United States of America, do hereby proclaim Tuesday, November 15, 1977, as a Day of National Observance of the

Two Hundredth Anniversary of the Adoption of the Articles of Confederation by the Continental Congress convened in York, Pennsylvania, and I call upon the people of the United States to observe that day with appropriate ceremonies and activities.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this day of November, in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred seventy-seven, and of the Independence of the United States of America the two hundred and second.

Timmy Carta

| П             | FOR STAFFING                                      |
|---------------|---|
| П             | FOR INFORMATION                                   |
| N             | FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY |
| П             | LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY                         |
| П             | IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND                              |
| $\rightarrow$ |   |

| ACTION | FYI |           | 4 |
|--------|-----|-----------|---|
|        |     | MONDALE   | _ |
|        |     | COSTANZA  | _ |
|        | 1   | EIZENSTAT | _ |
|        |     | JORDAN    | _ |
|        |     | LIPSHUTZ  |   |
| Z      |     | MOORE     |   |
|        |     | POWELL    |   |
|        |     | WATSON    |   |
|        |     | McINTYRE  |   |
|        |     | SCHULTZE  |   |
|        |     |           | _ |

| ENROLLED BILL       |
|---------------------|
| AGENCY REPORT       |
| CAB DECISION        |
| EXECUTIVE ORDER     |
| <br>Comments due to |
| Carp/Huron within   |
| 48 hours; due to    |
| Staff Secretary     |
| next day            |

|   | ARAGON     |
|---|------------|
|   | BOURNE     |
|   | BRZEZINSKI |
|   | BUTLER     |
|   | CARP       |
|   | H. CARTER  |
|   | CLOUGH     |
|   | FALLOWS    |
|   | FIRST LADY |
|   | HARDEN     |
| _ | HUTCHESON  |
|   | JAGODA     |
|   | GAMMILL    |

|   |   | KRAFT       |
|---|---|-------------|
|   |   | LINDER      |
|   |   | MITCHELL    |
|   |   | MOE         |
|   |   | PETERSON    |
|   |   | PETTIGREW   |
|   |   | POSTON      |
|   |   | PRESS       |
|   |   | SCHLESINGER |
|   |   | SCHNEIDERS  |
| L |   | STRAUSS     |
|   | L | VOORDE      |
| _ |   | WARREN      |

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON MORANDUM

Date: Nov. 8, 1977

FOR ACTION:

Jim Fallows

FOR INFORMATION:

Stu Eizenstat Frank Moore wown by plan Jody Powell Fran Voorde

FROM: Rick Hutcheson, Staff Secretary

Anniversary of the Adoption of the Articles of SUBJECT:

Confederation

YOUR RESPONSE MUST BE DELIVERED ment. Many of Manual her TO THE STAFF SECRETARY BY:

TIME: 12:00 Noon

DAY: Thursday

DATE: Nov. 10, 1977

**ACTION REQUESTED:** 

\_x\_\_ Your comments

Other:

**STAFF RESPONSE:** 

I concur.

Please note other comments below:

No comment.

÷.

#### PLEASE ATTACH THIS COPY TO MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

If you have any questions or if you anticipate a delay in submitting the required material, please telephone the Staff Secretary immediately. (Telephone, 7052)

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 9, 1977

TO:

RICK HUTCHESON

FROM:

JIM FALLOWS

SUBJECT:

Anniversary of the Adoption of the Articles

of Confederation

The text of this proclamation is ok with me. But I think it would be an extremely bad idea to issue it. As it is, we have a ridiculous surfeit of proclamations that we can't avoid -- either because they have been required by Congress (eg, Captive Nations Week), or because tradition demands them (eq Fire Prevention Week). The last thing we need is to open up a new area by using proclamations as a way to do minor political chores. Why not just send the guy a letter or put out a press release?

Misha



#### EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

November 8, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR:

ROBERT D. LINDER

FROM:

WILLIAM M

SUBJECT:

Anniversary of the Adoption of the Articles of Confederation

Enclosed herewith is a proposed proclamation entitled "Anniversary of the Adoption of the Articles of Confederation."

The proposed proclamation was prepared in this office at the request of Messrs. Eizenstat and Moore, as indicated in the enclosed memorandum of November 7, 1977 from Ms. Dorman.

As you know, under House rules, resolutions for commemorative proclamations may not be reported unless they have 218 co-sponsors. Accordingly, members of Congress often ask the President to issue them on his own authority. We may expect more of the same. It would seem, therefore, that a letter to the Mayor of York or a press statement, such as that released on the death of Bing Crosby, would have sufficed. However, Ms. Dorman advises us that a promise has been made to Rep. Goodling.

The Department of Justice has informally advised us that, from the standpoint of form and legality, there is no objection to issuance of this proposed proclamation.

Enclosures

| 刁 | FOR STAFFING              |
|---|---------------------------|
| П | FOR INFORMATION           |
| П | FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX   |
| П | LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY |
| П | IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND      |
| - | y .                       |

| ACTION | FYI |           | IM |
|--------|-----|-----------|----|
| -      |     | MONDALE   |    |
|        |     | COSTANZA  |    |
|        | 7   | EIZENSTAT |    |
|        |     | JORDAN    |    |
|        |     | LIPSHUTZ  |    |
|        | 1   | MOORE     |    |
|        | /   | POWELL    |    |
|        |     | WATSON    |    |
|        |     | McINTYRE  |    |
|        |     | SCHULTZE  |    |

| ENROLLED BILL     |
|-------------------|
| AGENCY REPORT     |
| CAB DECISION      |
| EXECUTIVE ORDER   |
| Comments due to   |
| Carp/Huron within |
| 48 hours; due to  |
| Staff Secretary   |
| next day          |
|                   |

|   | ARAGON       |
|---|--------------|
|   | BOURNE       |
|   | BRZEZINSKI   |
|   | BUTLER       |
|   | CARP         |
|   | H. CARTER    |
|   | CLOUGH       |
| / | FALLOWS      |
|   | FIRST LADY   |
|   | HARDEN       |
|   | HUTCHESON    |
|   | JAGODA       |
|   | GAMMILL      |
| - | <del> </del> |

| П | KRAFT       |
|---|-------------|
|   | LINDER      |
|   | MITCHELL    |
|   | MOE         |
|   | PETERSON    |
|   | PETTIGREW   |
|   | POSTON      |
|   | PRESS       |
|   | SCHLESINGER |
|   | SCHNEIDERS  |
|   | STRAUSS     |
|   | VOORDE      |
|   | WARREN      |

November 15, 1977

Stu Eizenstat
Bob Lipshutz
The attached was returned in the President's outbox today and is forwarded to you for your information. The signed original has been given to Bob Linder for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Bob Linder

CAB DOCKET 31564



| П         | FOR STAFFING              |
|-----------|---------------------------|
| П         | FOR INFORMATION           |
| $\square$ | FROM PRESIDENT'S OUTBOX   |
| П         | LOG IN/TO PRESIDENT TODAY |
|           | IMMEDIATE TURNAROUND      |
| ╌         |                           |

| ACTION | FYI |           |
|--------|-----|-----------|
|        |     | MONDALE   |
|        |     | COSTANZA  |
|        | /   | EIZENSTAT |
|        |     | JORDAN    |
|        | /   | LIPSHUTZ  |
|        |     | MOORE     |
|        |     | POWELL    |
|        |     | WATSON    |
|        |     | LANCE     |
|        |     | SCHULTZE  |
| -      |     |           |

|   | ENROLLED BILL     |
|---|-------------------|
|   | AGENCY REPORT     |
|   | CAB DECISION      |
|   | EXECUTIVE ORDER   |
|   | Comments due to   |
| 1 | Carp/Huron within |
|   | 48 hours; due to  |
|   | Staff Secretary   |
|   | next day          |

| ARAGON     |
|------------|
| 111410011  |
| BOURNE     |
| BRZEZINSKI |
| BUTLER     |
| CARP       |
| H. CARTER  |
| CLOUGH     |
| FALLOWS    |
| FIRST LADY |
| HARDEN     |
| HUTCHESON  |
| JAGODA     |
| KING       |

|        | KRAFT       |
|--------|-------------|
|        | LINDER      |
|        | MITCHELL    |
|        | MOE         |
| $\Box$ | PETERSON    |
| T      | PETTIGREW   |
|        | POSTON      |
|        | PRESS       |
|        | SCHLESINGER |
|        | SCHNEIDERS  |
| Π      | STRAUSS     |
|        | VOORDE      |
|        | WARREN      |

#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 15, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

BOB LIPSHUTZ

STU EIZENSTAT

SUBJECT:

CAB Decision Re Swissair, Pan Am, TWA,

KLM and British Airways (Docket 31564)

The attached order is another in a series of CAB decisions vacating the Board's initial suspension of discount Super-APEX fares following successful State Department negotiation of ad hoc agreements with the countries involved. (The ad hoc agreements permit future suspension if the fares prove predatory.) By signing the attached letter to the Board, you will permit these fares -- which offer 50 percent discounts to and from Switzerland -- to become effective immediately.

We recommend that you sign the attached letter.

Approve

Disapprove

November 15, 1977

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I have reviewed your proposed order (Docket 31564) dated November 8, 1977 which vacates previous suspensions to allow super-APEX fares to and from Switzerland to be quickly implemented.

I have decided to take no action and allow the Board's order to stand.

Sincerely,

Timmy Carter

Honorable Alfred E. Kahn Chairman Civil Aeronautics Board Washington, D. C. 20428





#### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 15, 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

BILL CABLE

DAN TATE

SUBJECT:

Energy Tax Conference

The conferees discussed the crude oil equalization and users taxes, but no major issues were resolved. The single significant action of the day involved the dropping of the Natural Gas Liquids (butane and propane) Equalization Tax from the bill. This tax was not proposed by you, and was incorporated in the House-passed bill. There was no similar provision in the Senate-passed bill. The Administration did not object to the conferees' action.

Congressman Ullman today asked Larry Woodworth, on behalf of the Administration, and Bob Shapiro, on behalf of the House conferees, to meet privately tomorrow to explore possible compromise approaches. He feels that only through such private discussions can any progress be made in the public sessions of the Conference.

Electrostatic Copy Made for Preservation Purposes

### THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

November 15, 1977

ford work

MEMORANDUM FOR:

The President

FROM:

Greg Schneiders

SUBJECT:

North Carolina Flood For Your Information

I was in North Carolina on Monday and Tuesday to tour the flooded area, review the federal relief effort and meet with federal, state and local officials.

The relief effort is proceeding smoothly with a high level of coordination. The Governor, both Senators, and the three Congressmen from the affected areas are satisfied with the federal contribution.

> **Electrostatic Copy Made** for Preservation Purposes

Agreed ture Dept Vondget Neview

#### Department of Agriculture 1979 Presidential Review

|       | Table of Contents  | Page   |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| TAB A | Overview   | 1  |  |  |  |
| TAB B | 1979 Budget Summary  | 6  |  |  |  |
| TAB C | Summary of Program Recommendations   |  |  |  |  |
| TAB D | Comparison of Agency Ranking and OMB Recommendations   | 8  |  |  |  |
| . •   | Assessment of ZBB Process  | 9  |  |  |  |
| TAB E | Issue Papers   |  |  |  |  |
|       | <u>Issues</u>  |  |  |  |  |
|       | 1. Agricultural Conservation Program   | 10<br>12<br>14<br>16                         |  |  |  |
| TAB F | Other Significant Items  |  |  |  |  |
|       | Nutrition Research Other Research Agricultural Stabilization Expenses State and Private Forestry Rural Housing Programs Farm Ownership Loans CCC Weather Scenario CCC Short-Term Export Credit | 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>24<br>25<br>26 |  |  |  |

#### Department of Agriculture 1979 Budget

#### Overview

#### I. USDA missions/programs

The Department of Agriculture has a variety of missions and a large number of programs to achieve its goals and objectives. Generally, however, they all are associated with food and/or rural areas.

#### A. Farm income support

-- assure adequate income by supporting the market prices of a large number of agricultural commodities; managing the production of major commodities; making direct payments to producers; encouraging exports; and providing credit.

#### B. Food and nutrition assistance

-- reduce poverty-related hunger and malnutrition in the U.S. largely through cash/food assistance to the needy and school children.

#### C. Agricultural production efficiency

-- promote efficiency largely through research and extension activities and through the control of diseases and pests.

#### D. Agricultural marketing and distribution

-- promote efficiency and effective competition through research, dissemination of market information, regulation, and inspection and grading of commodities.

#### E. Rural development

-- accelerate development primarily through loans and grants for housing, community facilities, electric power generating and distribution, telephone and other industrial development.

- f. Natural resource development and environmental protection
  - -- develop and protect natural resources largely through the management of the National Forests, research, and through a variety of technical assistance and cost-sharing programs such as watershed works of improvement on private lands.
- G. Foreign food assistance
  - -- assist less developed countries through highly concessional sales or outright donations of food.

#### II. 1979 Budget Summary

Following is a summary of the USDA request and the OMB recommendation compared to the ceiling (outlays - \$M):

|  |  | OODA   |   | UMB  |   |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
|  | Ceiling  | (Request   | lver/Under (-)<br>Celling   | Recom  | Over/Under (-)<br>Ceiling   |  |
| Price support Foreign food assistance Domestic feeding programs Other programs Subtotal Asset sales Proprietary receipts | 3,220!/<br>1,0642/<br>7,890<br>5,212<br>17,386<br>-783<br>16,603 | 4,910<br>1,376<br>8,948<br>5,579<br>20,813<br>-1,000<br>-1,108<br>18,705 | 1,690<br>312<br>1,058<br>367<br>3,427<br>-1,000<br>- 325<br>2,102 | 4,910<br>1,376<br>8,948<br>5,325<br>20,559<br>-1,000<br>-1,108<br>18,451 | 1,690<br>312<br>1,058<br>113<br>3,173<br>-1,000<br>- 325<br>1,848 |  |

<sup>1/</sup>Tentative; original Administration position - farm bill was pending.

<sup>2/</sup>Tentative; not included in agency ceiling.

This review deals largely with the "other programs".

- -- Price support budget decisions have been made outside of the budget process (e.g., farm bill legislation, separate decision memoranda).
- -- The foreign food assistance program (P.L. 480) will be taken up with other foreign assistance programs.
- -- The domestic feeding programs (food stamp, child nutrition, etc.) will be taken up with other income assistance programs.

#### The USDA request

- -- bases its price support estimates on "good" weather, i.e., about the same weather that prevailed during the period 1966-1970. If they were based on "bad" weather (the 1971-75 period) the estimate would be \$2B less.
- -- contains no new major initiatives, except for the proposal to expand the crop insurance program nationwide. This proposal initially went to the President in early October and currently is being reworked by USDA.
- -- proposes the elimination or phasedown of a number of programs, notably the Youth Conservation Corps, Great Plains Conservation Program, and new planning and construction starts under the small watershed program.

#### The OMB recommendation

- -- reduces the USDA budget request by \$420M in budget authority and \$254M in outlays.
- -- makes significant reductions in conservation assistance, research, forestry and rural loans, in large part to hold down Federal employment. (See attached table)

The studies which the President requested concerning rural development, timber policy, and the rural electrification program were not completed by USDA in time to be used as a basis for the preparation of the Department's request nor OMB's recommendations. The current status of these studies is as follows:

#### -- Rural development

. To be continued as a major study under the new Presidential Domestic Policy Review System with an estimated completion date of March 1978. Major impact will be on possible 1978 legislative initiatives and the 1980 Preview.

#### -- Timber policy

. Completion of the study was scheduled for mid-October. However, the Department recently asked the Forest Service to do some further work on it. Completion of the Secretary's review is expected by late November.

#### -- Rural electrification

. A rough, incomplete draft of the study of the REA program was completed October 13. The options developed in this paper by USDA are incomplete and inadequately analyzed; e.g., no analysis of cost to the Government. OMB staff are working with USDA to complete the study and plan to develop an options paper for the President. The paper will be included in the Presidential review of the Department of Energy budget, if it is completed in time.

#### Department of Agriculture 1979 Budget

### Distribution of Outlays (In millions of dollars)

|    |   |                           |                            |                                   |                                  |                                    |                              | 1978                                  |                                       | 19                                 | 79                                 |
|----|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|    |   | 1973<br><u>Act.</u>       | 1974<br><u>Act.</u>        | 1975<br><u>Äct.</u>               | 1976<br>Act.                     | 1977<br><u>Est.</u>                | Feb.<br>Budget               | Agency<br>Req.                        | OMB<br>Recom.                         | Agency<br>Req.                     | OMB<br>Recom.                      |
| Α. | Open-ended programs and fixed costs (relatively uncontrollable under present law)         |                           |                            |                                   |                                  |                                    |                              |                                       |                                       |                                    |                                    |
|    | 1. Commodity Credit Corporation 2. Domestic feeding programs 3. Commodity purchases       | 3,629<br>3,642            | 1,106<br>4,429             | 741<br>6,643                      | 1,174<br>7,959                   | 4,135<br>8,514                     | 1,036<br>9,153               | 6,485<br>8,915<br>243                 | 6,485<br>8,915<br>243                 | 4,910<br>8,948                     | 4,910<br>8,948                     |
|    | Total open-ended  | 7,271                     | 5,535                      | 7,384                             | 9,133                            | 12,649                             | 10,189                       | 15,643                                | 15,643                                | 13,858                             | 13,858                             |
| В. | Discretionary programs (relatively controllable)  |                           |                            |                                   |                                  |                                    |                              |                                       |                                       |                                    |                                    |
| •  | Agricultural Research     Service     Cooperative State Research     Extension            | 199<br>82<br>186          | 211<br>85<br>193           | 233<br>96<br>219                  | 24 <u>7</u><br>105<br>218        | 327<br>132<br>236                  | 323<br>140<br>243            | 328<br>148<br>255                     | 328<br>148<br>255                     | 334<br>160<br>266                  | 306<br>136<br>251                  |
|    | 4. Animal & Plant Health Inspection 1/ 5. Soil Conservation 6. Agricultural Stabilization | 309<br>308                | 314<br>353                 | 345<br>395                        | 393<br>470                       | 191<br>504                         | 437<br>463                   | 219<br>506                            | 214<br>506                            | 224<br>476                         | 209<br>457                         |
|    | & Conservation 7. Forest Service 8. Farmers Home Administration 9. P.L. 480               | 481<br>724<br>-147<br>754 | 302<br>833<br>1,685<br>639 | 534<br>976<br>-1,018<br>778<br>30 | 339<br>1,008<br>615<br>828<br>15 | 405<br>1,250<br>551<br>1,035<br>79 | 395<br>1,208<br>1,076<br>923 | 540<br>1,448<br>1,248<br>1,071<br>107 | 540<br>1,448<br>1,243<br>1,071<br>107 | 578<br>1,497<br>349<br>1,376<br>70 | 487<br>1,481<br>345<br>1,376<br>70 |
|    | 10. Federal Crop Insurance<br>11. Other   | 704 2/                    | 236                        | 250                               | 278                              | 597                                | 320                          | 581                                   | 579                                   | 625                                | 583                                |
|    | Total discretionary   | 3,599                     | 4,849                      | 2,838                             | 4,504                            | 5,307                              | 5,547                        | 6,451                                 | 6,439                                 | 5,955                              | 5,701                              |
| €. | Receipts  | -843                      | -619                       | <u>-513</u>                       | <u>-591</u>                      | -689                               | -784                         | -856                                  | -856                                  | -1,108                             | -1,108                             |
| _  | Total outlays   | 10,027                    | 9,765                      | 9,708                             | 13,046                           | 17,267                             | 14,952                       | 21,238                                | 21,226                                | 18,705                             | 18,451                             |
| D. | Full-time permanent employment (thousands)  | 81.6                      | 79.5                       | 79. ł                             | 80.4                             | 82.1                               | 84.2                         | 89.1                                  | 86.6                                  | 90.3                               | 84.9                               |

<sup>1/</sup> Reorganized in 1977 after submission of 1978 budget.

<sup>2/</sup> Includes \$529M for REA, the last year REA loans were "on budget".

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET BUDGET SUMMARY (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|  | BUDGET<br>AUTHORITY                | OUTLAYS                                   | FULL-TIME<br>PERMANENT<br>EMPLOYMENT     |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1977 ACTUAL                              | 15,369                             | 17,267                                    | 82,051                                   |
| <u>1978</u> :                            |                                    |   |  |
| AGENCY REQUEST OMB RECOMMENDATION CHANGE | 15,378<br>15,366<br>-12            | 21,238<br>21,226<br>-12                   | 89,125<br>86,557<br>-2,568               |
| <u>1979</u> :                            |                                    |   |  |
| PLANNING CEILING                         | 15,651<br>22,697<br>22,277<br>-420 | 16,603<br>18,705<br><u>18,451</u><br>-254 | XXX<br>90,318<br><u>84,934</u><br>-5,384 |
| 1980:                                    |                                    | ,   |  |
| AGENCY REQUEST                           | 20,928<br>20,575                   | 21,420<br>20,729                          |  |
| <u>1981</u> :                            |                                    |   |  |
| AGENCY REQUEST OMB RECOMMENDATION        | 20,212<br>19,707                   | 19,707<br>18,245                          |  |

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET SUMMARY OF PROGRAM RECOMMENDATIONS (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|    |  | AGENCY<br>BA                                    | REQUEST<br>0                                | OMB F   | RECOM 0                                     |
|----|--|---|---|---|---|
| Α. | ISSUES:  |   |   |   |   |
|    | <ol> <li>AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM</li> <li>YOUTH CONSERVATION CORPS</li> <li>FORESTRY SERVICE BUDGET DATA</li> <li>EMPLOYMENT</li> </ol>        | 190<br>-<br>NA<br>NA                            | 294<br>1<br>NA<br>NA                        | 50<br>-<br>NA<br>NA                             | 235<br>1<br>NA<br>NA                        |
| В. | OTHER SIGNIFICANT PROGRAMS:  |   |   |   |   |
|    | 1. AGENCY AND OMB DIFFER   | •   |   |   |   |
|    | NUTRITION RESEARCH OTHER RESEARCH AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION EXPENSES STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY RURAL HOUSING FARM OWNERSHIP LOANS CCC WEATHER SCENARIO | 63<br>476<br>246<br>21<br>492<br>(605)<br>7,855 | 33<br>461<br>242<br>22<br>542<br>-<br>4,543 | 31<br>420<br>216<br>15<br>492<br>(350)<br>7,855 | 25<br>417<br>211<br>15<br>542<br>-<br>4,543 |
| -  | 2. AGENCY AND OMB AGREE  |   |   |   |   |
|    | EXPORT CREDIT  | -   | 367   | -   | 367   |
| С. | ALL OTHERS   | 13,354  | 12,200                                      | 13,198  | 12,095                                      |
|    | TOTALS   | 22,697  | 18,705                                      | 22,277  | 18,451                                      |

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET SUMMARY COMPARISON OF AGENCY RANKING AND OMB RECOMMENDATIONS (IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS)

| AGENCY           |   | ουτι    | .AYS            |
|------------------|---|---------|-----------------|
| RANKING          | •   | AGENCY  | OMB             |
| NUMBER           | PACKAGE DESCRIPTION                             | REQUEST | RECOMMENDATIONS |
|                  |   |         |                 |
| 1-227 <u>1</u> / | (VARIOUS ACTIVITIES - MINOR PRICING AND OUTPUT  |         | 17.070          |
|                  | ( CHANGES                                       | 17,282  | 17,272          |
|                  | (CONSERVATION COST OF SHARING (ACP) *           | 257     | 225             |
| 228-229          | PROPRIETARY RECEIPTS AND RESEARCH GRANTS        | 2       | 2               |
| 230              | TIMBER MANAGEMENT *                             | 73      | 69              |
| 231-253          | MINOR PRICING AND OUTPUT CHANGES                | 155     | 144             |
| 254              | FORESTRY - RESOURCE PROTECTION                  | 24      | 20              |
| 255-263          | MINOR PRICING AND OUTPUT CHANGES                | 17      | 14              |
| 264              | AG. STABILIZATION & CONSERVATION - EXPENSES     | 30      | •               |
| 265-338          | MINOR PRICING AND OUTPUT CHANGES                | 274     | 228             |
| 339              | COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING GRANTS                   | 5       | -               |
| 340-349          | MINOR PRICING AND OUTPUT CHANGES                | 270     | 262             |
| 350              | TIMBER MANAGEMENT *                             | 23      | 20              |
| 351 <b>-</b> 357 | MINOR PRICING AND OUTPUT CHANGES                | 12      | 11              |
| 358              | BRUCELLOSIS ERADICATION                         | 12      | _               |
|                  | MINOR PRICING AND OUTPUT CHANGES                | 8       | 7               |
| 359-362          | FORESTRY - RECREATION AND WILDERNESS            | 30      | 2 <b>7</b>      |
| 363              |   |         |                 |
| 364              | FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE PROGRAM                  | 52      | 52              |
| 365              | SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM               | 42      | 42              |
| 366~377          | MINOR PRICING AND OUTPUT CHANGES                | 50      | 47              |
| 378-379          | RESEARCH & EXTENSION FORMULA GRANTS             | 15      | -               |
| 380-382          | MINOR PRICING AND OUTPUT CHANGES                | 9       | . 7             |
| 383              | AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS                         | 8       | -               |
| 384              | LARGE AREA CROP INVENTORY EXPERIMENT            | 4       | -               |
| 385              | EXTENSION EARMARKED PROGRAMS                    | 8       | 2               |
| 386              | CONSERVATION COST SHARING *                     | 38      | ÷               |
| 387-388          | REA - TELEPHONE LOANS AND RESEARCH CONSTRUCTION | 5       |                 |
|                  | TOTAL   | 18,705  | 18,451          |

<sup>\*</sup> AN ISSUE PAPER IS PRESENTED COVERING THIS PACKAGE.

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET ASSESSMENT OF ZBB PROCESS

### FY 1979 BUDGET

- GOOD START FOR THE FIRST YEAR.
- INVOLVED DECISION MAKERS IN WASHINGTON.
- FOCUSED ON ALTERNATIVE FUNDING LEVELS.
- MAJOR WEAKNESS WAS THAT SUBMISSION WAS BARE BONES PROCESS WAS THERE BUT SUPPORTING MATERIAL
   WAS WEAK.

### FY 1980 BUDGET

- INVOLVE FIELD MANAGERS IN PROCESS.
- REWORK SOME OF THE DECISION UNITS (AGGREGATE SOME, DISAGGREGATE OTHERS).
- IMPROVE SUPPORTING JUSTIFICATION, PARTICULARLY FOR MINIMUM LEVELS.

### Department of Agriculture 1979 Budget Issue Paper

Issue #1: Agricultural Conservation Program (ACP)

### Background

Under ACP, the Federal Government shares with landowners and producers the cost of installing certain conservation measures on their lands. The program was initiated more than forty years ago to supplement farmers' incomes and to provide incentives for farmers to install needed soil and water conservation measures on their land.

The Great Plains Conservation Program (GPCP) was initiated in 1956 to minimize climatic and economic hazards in the ten Great Plains States. Cost-sharing contracts under the program with individual landowners range in time from three to ten years and vary among practices and between States because of the difference in conservation and program needs. Under the GPCP, farmers and operators are required to maintain good farming and conservation practices on all land under the program and certain other lands immediately adjacent thereto.

In prior years, the GPCP has been funded as a separate program in the Soil Conservation Service. This year, however, the Secretary has proposed that this program not be funded separately since cost-sharing under ACP would be equally available in the Great Plains area.

The President in August sent a memorandum to the Secretary urging him to work to remove the undesirable aspects of ACP, i.e., yield enhancing practices. The agency's high priority request included this program at a reduced level and proposed national direction to emphasize solution of the most critical problems.

### Statement of Issue

What level of funding should be recommended for ACP in the FY 1979 Budget?

### Alternatives

- 1. No funding.
- 2. Fund at current level (\$190 million). (Agency request--low priority)
- 3. Fund at \$100 million. (Agency request--high priority)
- 4. Fund at \$50 million. Funds would be used to cost-share with producers only for practices which control non-point source pollution runoff. (OMB recommendation)

### Expected effects and reactions to be considered

- -- The Secretary would likely appeal.
- -- Congress probably would not go along; Congressman Whitten has insisted over the years--via appropriation language--that county offices determine the eligible practices.
- Certain interest groups (i.e., National Limestone Institute, National Association of Conservation Districts, county committees, etc.) would complain bitterly.
- -- Would indicate that the Administration considers elimination of non-point source pollution on agricultural land a much higher priority than most of the practices currently cost-shared under ACP.

Budget Authority/Outlays (In millions of dollars)

|   | 197        | <u> </u>   | 19         | 78         | 19        | 79         |                   | 980      | _19       | 081      | _1        | 982      |           | 983       |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Agricultural Conserva-<br>tion Program: | BA         | <u>0</u>   | BA         | Ō          | DA        | <u>0</u>   | BA                | <u>0</u> | BA        | Q        | BA        | Ō        | BA        | ō         |
| Alt. #1<br>Alt. #2 (Agency req          | 340        | 195        | 190        | 289        |           | 195        | <b>*** *</b> ** • | 37       | ~ ~       | 37       | . <b></b> | 37       |           | 38        |
|   | 340        | 195        | 190        | 289        | 190       | 294        | 190               | 175      | 190       | 178      | 190       | 183      | 190       | 188       |
| high priority)<br>Alt. #4 (OMB rec.)    | 340<br>340 | 195<br>195 | 190<br>190 | 289<br>289 | 100<br>50 | 256<br>235 | 100<br>50         | 99<br>97 | 100<br>50 | 99<br>87 | 100<br>50 | 99<br>75 | 100<br>50 | 100<br>60 |

### Department of Agriculture 1979 Budget Issue Paper

Issue #2: Proposed Termination of the Youth Conservation Corps Program

### Background

The Departments of Agriculture and Interior administer the Youth Conservation Corps Program (YCC) on both Federal and non-Federal lands. The purposes of YCC are to provide gainful employment and improve environmental understanding in order to further the development and maintenance of the natural resources of the United States. The program by law is open to all youths aged 15 through 18. The Secretary of Agriculture, who budgets for the entire program, has deleted YCC from his request. The Secretary of Interior supports continuation.

### Statement of Issue

Should the YCC be terminated in FY 1979?

### **Alternatives**

- 1. Terminate the YCC in favor of the new Young Adult Conservation Corps Program (YACC). (USDA request and OMB recommendation)
- 2. Continue the YCC at about half the FY 1978 level.
- 3. Continue the YCC at the FY 1978 level. (DOI recommendation)

### Expected effects and reactions to be considered

- -- The following program outputs would be eliminated;
  - .. the YCC experience for about 37,500 youth.

- .. work product which has been valued at over 70 percent of the cost of the program (measured by the cost of doing the work through other means).
- .. modest improvement in environmental understanding.
- -- USDA has recommended termination only because of budget priorities and will minimize the following arguments for termination:
  - .. Work accomplishments are not cost-effective even if it is assumed that all work would have been of sufficient priority to accomplish without the YCC.
  - .. Improvements in environmental understanding are modest and longer-term benefits would probably approach zero.
  - .. Public summer camps not targeted to specific needs for employment or income are a low priority activity.
  - .. The YACC would permit employment of unemployed individuals who now participate in YCC (except the 15 year olds) if referred by the Department of Labor, and is a preferable program in that it is targeted to job-related needy.
- -- The Congress has been very supportive of YCC, particularly some influential members, including Senator Jackson. The Congress is virtually certain to continue funding it.
- -- The cost of this program has risen from about \$1,000 per participant to over \$1,800 per participant in Federal projects. The program has the potential to expand by 10 times or more.
- -- Reducing the program by 50 percent would have similar effects but would be more agreeable to proponents than no program.

| (In millions of dollars)                   |                |                        |                |                |          |                 |          |                 |          |          |           |          |          |                   |
|--|----------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------------------|
| Youth Conservation Corp:                   | BA.            | <u>077</u><br><u>0</u> | <u>BA</u>      | 978<br>0       | BA       | 979<br><u>0</u> | BA       | 980<br><u>0</u> | BA 15    | <u>0</u> | 198<br>BA | <u>0</u> | BA 1     | 983 <u>,</u><br>0 |
| Alt. #1 (Agency req. and OMB rec.) Alt. #2 | 60<br>60<br>60 | 60<br>60               | 60<br>60<br>60 | 64<br>64<br>64 | 30<br>60 | 1<br>30<br>60   | 30<br>60 | 30<br>60        | 30<br>60 | 30<br>60 | 30<br>60  | 30<br>60 | 30<br>60 | 30<br>60          |

### Department of Agriculture 1979 Budget Issue Paper

Issue #3: Improving Budget Justifications for Forest Service Programs

### Background

Budgeting for the broad array of activities conducted by the Forest Service is complex and under current conditions is too often conjectural. There are many unresolved policy questions; and information to support estimates of requirements is often inadequate. This situation persists even though the information which we believe would be adequate has repeatedly been requested.

### Statement of Issue

Should the Department and the Forest Service be pressed to identify and develop, with the concurrence of OMB, better data for justification of FY 1980 budget proposals for Forest Service programs?

### Alternatives

- 1. Transmit a request for specific kinds of information to the Secretary from the Director which indicates your approval of the request. (OMB recommendation)
- 2. Continue to work with the Department on a more informal basis to develop a better basis for budget recommendations.

### Expected effects and reactions to be considered

Based on past experience, alternative 1 is essential if there is to be a reasonable chance of success. Various attempts to develop better information have been made in connection with budget preparation and through special studies in recent years but have fallen far short of accomplishing their objective.

The Department of Agriculture is presently working on several national forest timber management policy analyses. The analyses were recommended by OMB and approved by you during the Spring Review. They are designed to result in better defined objectives, to determine legal constraints on options, and to better identify relevant benefits and appropriate measures of benefits.

A number of major program evaluations are underway or have been completed in recent years which have important implications for budgeting for Forest Service programs. These include:

- -- Review of about 60 million acres of national forest roadless area is underway to determine how much of this area should be preserved as wilderness or managed for other purposes.
- -- A report on measures to increase the productivity of small forest tracts in private ownership for timber and other purposes has recently been transmitted to the President.
- -- The first planning cycle pursuant to the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act has been completed resulting in a multi-year program (through 1980) recommended by former Secretary Butz, which calls for major expansion of virtually all activities of the Forest Service.

The completed reports are useful but are not a sufficient basis for budgeting. We need better information on the possibilities to produce all forest uses such as timber, recreation, wildlife and others and better information on their benefit/cost relationships. For example:

- -- Timber sales are made over wide areas and conditions. Some timber sales are sold at prices which far exceed costs of administration. Other sales are sold at prices which fail to cover their administrative costs. The information presented with the budget does not permit determining how much of the proposed sale program covers costs or whether sales whose costs exceed their selling prices are necessary to meet valid objectives.
- -- Recreation occurs in many forms over the entire national forest system. Some areas are very costly to operate on a per visitor day basis. Various levels of operation and maintenance are possible. The information presented does not permit comparing the effects of different levels and costs of operation on recreational use or for identifying high cost areas as candidates for closure.

O

### Department of Agriculture 1979 Budget Issue Paper Issue #4: Employment Ceilings

### Background

The USDA employment request for 1978 exceeds the current full-time permanent (FTP) ceiling by 6,622 and the total ceiling by 18,570.

The proposed increase in full-time permanent is attributed primarily to:

- -- implementation of the Young Adult Conservation Corps (+1,621),
- -- appropriation increases over the 1978 budget request (+4,185),
- -- administration of the farm bill (+230),
- -- transfer of meat inspection functions from the Department of Defense (+321), and
- -- adherence to minimum statutory ceilings imposed by Congress (+265).

### Issue

At what levels should USDA's employment ceilings be established for FY 1978 and 1979?

### Alternatives

- 1. Continue current ceilings:
  - -- Direct Secretary to intensively review USDA employment with objective of using the 1978 appropriation to achieve Congress' anticipated output with no increase in employment ceilings and no recissions.
  - -- Reorganization should be seriously considered.
- 2. Set 1978 at level requested by USDA; base 1979 level on budget decisions.
- 3. Provide minimal increases for 1978, enough to avoid any recissions; base 1979 level on budget decisions. Consider further significant intra-departmental consolidation and reorganization. (OMB recommendation)

### Expected effects and reactions to be considered

The major problems in 1978 are the additional funds appropriated and statutory minimum employment levels established by Congress. Unless these or other funds can be used effectively for other than additional personnel, the choice is to either rescind the funds or add personnel.

OMB cannot demonstrate analytically that the Department can do its job in 1978 without an increase of about 4,300 FTP's and still avoid rescissions.

Although the Secretary has indicated to OMB that an additional 6,600 FTP's are necessary, a Presidential directive to the Secretary to undertake, as a major Administration objective, doing the job in 1978 without recissions or any increase in employment may possibly avoid any significant increase in employment, if the Secretary is willing and able to establish and implement a major Department-wide commitment to such an objective.

Without such an intensive commitment by the Department, OMB recommends Alternative #3.

|                            |       | 1977<br>Actual | <u>1978</u> | <u>1979</u> |
|----------------------------|-------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Alternative #1             | FȚP   | 82,051         | 82,503      | 82,503      |
|                            | Total | 125,900        | 116,258     | 116,258     |
| Alternative #2 (USDA req.) | FTP   | 82,051         | 89,125      | 90,318      |
|                            | Total | 125,900        | 134,828     | 140,542     |
| Alternative #3 (OMB rec.)  | FTP   | 82,051         | 86,557      | 84,934      |
|                            | Total | 125,900        | 128,164     | 127,572     |

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET OTHER SIGNIFICANT ITEM NUTRITION RESEARCH (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|                   | 1978<br>RECOMMENDATION | REQUEST   | 1979<br>RECOMMENDAT | ION |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----|
| BA - RESEARCH     |                        | 42<br>21  | 31<br>              | i   |
| BO FTP EMPLOYMENT |                        | 33<br>380 | 25<br>374           | 1   |

### RECOMMENDATION IS TO:

- PROVIDE A 10 PERCENT INCREASE IN NUTRITION RESEARCH ACTIVITIES COMPARED TO THE SECRETARY'S REQUESTED 51 PERCENT INCREASE; INCREASE (\$2.7M) WOULD BE IN THE AREAS OF FOOD SCIENCE AND DIET AND NUTRITION STATUS MONITORING.
- REQUIRE USDA TO PREPARE A DETAILED JUSTIFICATION FOR THE NUTRITION RESEARCH CENTER AT TUFTS
   UNIVERSITY PRIOR TO ENGAGING AN ARCHITECT; DO NOT SEEK \$21.1M FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE FACILITY
   IN FY 1979.

- USDA WOULD BE EXPECTED TO REACT VERY NEGATIVELY TO ANY EFFORT WHICH APPEARS TO LIMIT ITS PERCEIVED LEAD ROLE IN NUTRITION RESEARCH.
- CONGRESS WOULD BE EXPECTED TO ADD FUNDING FOR BOTH THE TUFTS CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AND FOR INCREASED RESEARCH.
- THERE IS NO FEDERAL POLICY ON WHO SHOULD BE FUNDING NUTRITION RESEARCH. OSTP AND THE
  PRESIDENT'S REORGANIZATION PROJECT ARE ADDRESSING THIS ISSUE.

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET OTHER SIGNIFICANT ITEM OTHER RESEARCH (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|                | 1978           | 19         | 79             |
|----------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
|                | RECOMMENDATION | REQUEST    | RECOMMENDATION |
| BA<br>BO       | 443<br>456     | 476<br>461 | 420<br>417     |
| FTP EMPLOYMENT | 8,181          | 8,286      | 7,798          |

### **RECOMMENDATION IS TO:**

- REDUCE RESEARCH 5% UNDER 1978 LEVEL PRINCIPALLY IN THE APPLIED RESEARCH AREAS OF INTRAMURAL MARKETING RESEARCH AND EXTRAMURAL STATE AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION FORMULA GRANTS.
- INCREASE BASIC RESEARCH BY 9%.
- DIRECT USDA TO DEVELOP A STRATEGY WITH OMB TO CONVERT A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE ACTIVITY TO GRANTS IN 1980 WITH A GRADUAL IMPLEMENTATION STARTING IN 1978 TO COMPLY WITH THE RECOMMENDED EMPLOYMENT CEILING AND ENABLE FUTURE EMPLOYMENT REDUCTIONS.

### **IMPLICATIONS:**

- CONGRESS WOULD BE EXPECTED TO RESTORE CUTS IN MARKETING RESEARCH AND STATE AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION FORMULA GRANTS.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET OTHER SIGNIFICANT ITEM AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION SERVICE (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|                | 1978           | 1979    |                |  |
|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|--|
|                | RECOMMENDATION | REQUEST | RECOMMENDATION |  |
| ва             | 215.6          | 246.3   | 215.6          |  |
| BO             | 210.8          | 242.0   | 210.8          |  |
| FTP EMPLOYMENT |                | 2,693   | 2,693          |  |

### RECOMMENDATION IS TO:

- AGREE TO SUPPORT THE AGENCY'S REQUEST FOR A 1978 SUPPLEMENTAL OF \$70M TO PAY FOR INCREASED MANPOWER REQUIRED TO CARRY OUT THE NEW FARM PROGRAMS MANDATED BY THE FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ACT OF 1977, E.G.
  - .. ACREAGE SET-ASIDES; ACREAGE, YIELD AND PRODUCTION VERIFICATION
  - .. COMMODITY LOANS
  - .. DEFICIENCY PAYMENTS
  - .. COMMODITY RESERVES
- HOLD MONEY AND MANPOWER AT 1978 LEVELS UNTIL WE CAN GET A BETTER FIX ON HOW FAR THE 1978 INCREASES GO TOWARD MEETING PROGRAM NEEDS.

- MANPOWER (NON-FEDERAL) AVAILABLE IN COUNTY OFFICES IS INSUFFICIENT TO DO ALL REQUIRED TO ADMINISTER THE NEW ACT.
- WITHOUT AN INCREASE, LOANS AND DEFICIENCY PAYMENTS WILL BE DELAYED AND PAYMENT ERRORS WILL INCREASE. PROGRAM CREDIBILITY AND PARTICIPATION WILL GO DOWN.

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET OTHER SIGNIFICANT ITEM STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|                | 1978<br>RECOMMENDATION | 1979<br>RECOMMENDATION |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| ва             | . 21.4                 | 14.8                   |
| BO             |                        | 14.8                   |
| FTP EMPLOYMENT |                        | 96                     |

#### **RECOMMENDATION IS TO:**

- TERMINATE GRANTS TO STATES FOR URBAN FORESTRY ACTIVITIES INCLUDING DUTCH ELM DISEASE CONTROL
  AS PROPOSED BY THE SECRETARY.
- MAINTAIN OTHER COOPERATIVE FOREST MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS AT THE 1978 LEVEL REJECTING THE SECRETARY'S PROPOSALS TO INCREASE FORMULA AND NON-FORMULA GRANTS TO STATES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO PRIVATE LANDOWNERS AND WOOD PROCESSORS.

- THE DEPARTMENT HAS EXPRESSED A STRONG INTEREST IN EXPANDING THESE COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS TO IMPROVE PRODUCTIVITY ON SMALL PRIVATE FOREST OWNERSHIPS.
- EFFICACY OF ACTIVITIES ARE HIGHLY UNCERTAIN IN TERMS OF:
  - .. PHYSICAL RESULTS.
  - .. NET NATIONAL BENEFITS OF REDIRECTING CAPITAL TO SMALL PRIVATE OWNERSHIPS,
  - .. EQUITY OF PROVIDING FEDERAL SUBSIDIES TO PRIVATE LAND OR MILL OWNERS.
  - .. COMPETITION WITH PRIVATE VENDORS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET OTHER SIGNIFICANT ITEM RURAL HOUSING PROGRAMS (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|             | 1978           | 1979 *  |                |  |
|-------------|----------------|---------|----------------|--|
|             | RECOMMENDATION | REQUEST | RECOMMENDATION |  |
| BA          | 687            | 492     | 492            |  |
| BO          | 464            | 542     | 542            |  |
| STAFF YEARS | 6,700          | 6,825   | 6,700 F        |  |

\* FIRST FULL YEAR COST (1980) IS ESTIMATED TO BE ABOUT \$745M FOR GRANT AND INTEREST SUBSIDY COSTS UNDER THE PROPOSED TARGETING STRATEGY. THIS COMPARES WITH \$670M UNDER THE EXISTING PROGRAM STRATEGY.

#### RECOMMENDATION IS TO:

- TARGET RURAL HOUSING PROGRAMS BY INCOME GROUP WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON ASSISTING THOSE RURAL FAMILIES WITH LOWEST INCOMES WHO OCCUPY SUBSTANDARD HOUSING AND/OR HAVE EXCESSIVE RENT BURDENS. THIS IS IN CONTRAST TO THE EXISTING PROGRAMS WHICH SERVE BORROWERS ON A FIRST COME/FIRST SERVED BASIS WHO USUALLY OCCUPY STANDARD HOUSING AND WHO HAVE ANNUAL INCOMES IN EXCESS OF \$8,000.
- SEEK LEGISLATION TO ENABLE PROVIDING HOMEOWNERSHIP LOANS TO FAMILIES WITH ANNUAL INCOMES AS LOW
  AS \$4,000 WHERE RENTAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE IS A LESS EFFECTIVE OPTION AND PROVIDE A MEANS TO
  RECAPTURE A PORTION OF THE FEDERAL SUBSIDY, SPECIFIC LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS ARE;
  - .. EQUITY SHARING BY THE GOVERNMENT GOVERNMENT WOULD HOLD UP TO 75% OF THE MORTGAGE AND REQUIRE LOAN REPAYMENTS WITH A 1% INTEREST RATE BY THE BORROWER ON HIS 25% OF THE MORTGAGE.
  - DEEP SUBSIDY HOMEOWNERSHIP WILL ENABLE THE BORROWER TO PAY NO MORE THAN 25% OF HIS INCOME FOR HOUSING COSTS (LOAN REPAYMENT AT 1% INTEREST, TAXES, INSURANCE, UTILITIES, AND MAINTENANCE). GOVERNMENT WOULD GIVE BORROWER AN ANNUAL GRANT TO COVER THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 25% OF INCOME AND TOTAL HOUSING COST.

- .. FEDERAL SUBSIDY RECOVERY PROGRAM WILL PERMIT GOVERNMENT TO RECOVER A MAJOR PORTION OF FEDERAL HOMEOWNERSHIP SUBSIDIES THROUGH SHARING IN APPRECIATED VALUE AT TIME HOUSE IS SOLD.
- ESTABLISH AN INDEX OF RURAL HOUSING DEFICIENCIES FOR TARGETING RURAL HOUSING PROGRAM ASSISTANCE.
- ESTABLISH A PROGRAM EVALUATION CAPABILITY FOR AN ONGOING ASSESSMENT OF PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS.
- IMPLEMENT A GRANT PROGRAM FOR LOCAL ORGANIZATIONS TO LOCATE AND ASSIST LOW INCOME RURAL FAMILIES TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE RURAL HOUSING PROGRAMS. (\$10M REQUEST FOR FY 1979 IS DENIED IN OMB RECOMMENDATION PENDING AN ASSESSMENT OF FY 1978 \$1M PILOT PROGRAM FUNDED BY THE CONGRESS.)
- RECOMMENDATION DOES NOT PROVIDE FOR AN EMPLOYMENT INCREASE IN FY 1979 OVER THE FY 1978 RECOMMENDED LEVEL. USDA WILL BE REQUESTED TO EXPLORE CONTRACTING OPPORTUNITIES TO FREE UP STAFF RESOURCES FOR THIS PROGRAM.

| - | PROGRAM DISTRIBUTION BY INCOME CLASS | HOUSING UNITS ASSIST                            |   |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
|   | INCOME CLASS:                        | FY 1978 EXISTING PROGRAM                        | FY 1979<br>PROPOSED PROGRAM                     |  |  |
|   | TOTAL                                | (194,000)                                       | (185,000)                                       |  |  |
|   | LESS THAN \$8,000                    | 47,000<br>65,000<br>46,000<br>36,000 <u>1</u> / | 80,000<br>55,000<br>30,000<br>20,000 <u>1</u> / |  |  |

- 1/ OMB RECOMMENDS ELIMINATING THESE UNITS (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LOAN GUARANTEES) AND RELYING ON PRIVATE LENDERS TO SERVE THIS INCOME CLASS. (SECRETARY IS LIKELY TO APPEAL.)
- ABSENT A TARGETING STRATEGY PROGRESSIVELY FEWER LOWER INCOME FAMILIES WILL RECEIVE HOMEOWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE AS HOUSING COSTS CONTINUE TO INCREASE.
- RURAL RENTERS PAYING MORE THAN 25% OF THEIR INCOME FOR HOUSING ARE PROJECTED TO INCREASE.
- THE TARGETING STRATEGY FOR LOWER INCOME FAMILIES REQUIRES INCREASED LOAN SERVICING AND SUPERVISION.

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET OTHER SIGNIFICANT ITEM FARM OWNERSHIP LOANS (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|                                    | 1978           | 19      | 79             |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|
|                                    | RECOMMENDATION | REQUEST | RECOMMENDATION |
| NEW LOAN COMMITMENTS               | 550            | 605     | 350            |
| LIFE OF LOAN INTEREST SUBSIDY COST | 560            | 620     | . 360          |

### RECOMMENDATION IS TO:

- RESTRICT USE OF 5% LOANS LARGELY TO NEW YOUNG LOW EQUITY FAMILIES FOR ENTRY INTO FARMING.
- ENCOURAGE SHORT TERM CREDIT FOR LAND RENTAL FOR MARGINAL FARMERS IN LIEU OF LAND PURCHASE.

- SINCE PRIVATE CREDIT AND THE FARM CREDIT SYSTEM GENERALLY PROVIDES ADEQUATE CREDIT TO FARMERS TO MEET AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION OBJECTIVES, NO ADVERSE EFFECTS ARE EXPECTED ON FOOD PRODUCTION.
- SHORT TERM CREDIT FOR MARGINAL FARMERS INSTEAD OF LONG-TERM LOANS AVOIDS LOCKING THE GOVERNMENT AND BORROWERS INTO A LONG TERM CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP.
- RECOMMENDATION WILL REQUIRE TARGETING OF LOAN ASSISTANCE INSTEAD OF ASSISTING BORROWERS ON A
  FIRST COME/FIRST SERVED BASIS FMHA WILL LIKELY RESIST THIS CHANGE.
- CONGRESSIONAL OPPOSITION CAN BE EXPECTED TO REDUCING ASSISTANCE TO FARMERS.

## DEPARTMENT OF 1CULTURE 1979 BUDGET OTHER SIGNIFICANT ITEM COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|                | 1978           | 19           | 79             |
|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
|                | RECOMMENDATION | REQUEST      | RECOMMENDATION |
| BA             | 968            | 7,855        | 7,855          |
| BO             | 6,485          | 4,543        | 4,543          |
| FTP EMPLOYMENT | -              | <del>-</del> | <b>-</b>       |

### RECOMMENDATION IS TO:

ASK USDA TO RESUBMIT ITS CCC BUDGET BASED ON MOST LIKELY WEATHER ASSUMPTIONS.

USDA SUBMITTED 2 BUDGETS FOR PRICE SUPPORT PROGRAMS: ONE MECHANICALLY APPLYING THE 1966-70 WEATHER PATTERN TO THE 1978-82 PERIOD (GOOD WEATHER) AND ONE APPLYING THE 1971-75 WEATHER PATTERN (BAD WEATHER). THE RESULTS (SEE BELOW) HAVE LIMITED VALUE FOR BUDGETING--COULD WE CREDIBLY CLAIM, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT OUTLAYS IN 1980 WILL FALL SHARPLY BECAUSE WE EXPECT BAD WEATHER IN 1979?

### IMPLICATIONS:

- CCC OUTLAYS, INCLUDING EXPORT CREDIT:

| "GOOD" WEATHER | "BAD" WEATHER                    |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| 6,485          | 5,965                            |
| 4,910          | 3,125                            |
| 5,546          | 335                              |
|                | -2,953                           |
| 824            | 255                              |
|                | 6,485<br>4,910<br>5,546<br>2,277 |

HISTORICALLY, THE NORMAL SITUATION IN U.S. AGRICULTURE HAS BEEN ONE OF EXCESS PRODUCTION CAPACITY AND SURPLUS PRODUCTION. FARMERS TURNED OUT RECORD LARGE CROP PRODUCTION THIS YEAR WITH 2/3 OF THE COUNTIES IN DISASTER AREAS. CONSEQUENTLY, WE HAVE USED THE LARGER FIGURE THUS FAR IN DEVELOPING AGRICULTURE FUNCTION BUDGET ESTIMATES.

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 1979 BUDGET OTHER SIGNIFICANT ITEM CCC SHORT-TERM EXPORT CREDIT (\$ IN MILLIONS)

|                | 1978           | 19           | 79             |
|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
|                | RECOMMENDATION | REQUEST      | RECOMMENDATION |
| BA<br>BO       | 1,500<br>694   | 1,500<br>367 | 1,500<br>367   |
| FTP EMPLOYMENT | - 1×           | _            | _              |

### **RECOMMENDATION IS TO:**

- RAISE THE AUTHORIZED LEVEL OF CCC EXPORT CREDIT TO \$1.5 BILLION FOR 1978 AND 1979 IN ORDER TO INCREASE EXPORTS OF OUR EXTENSIVELY GROWN CROPS. USDA REQUESTED A RESERVE OF AN ADDITIONAL \$500 MIL. FOR 1978. WE THINK ACTION ON THIS SHOULD BE POSTPONED UNTIL WE SEE WHAT THE SUPPLY-DEMAND SITUATION IS AFTER THE \$1.5 BILLION HAS BEEN COMMITTED.

### IMPLICATIONS:

- VARYING THE CREDIT AUTHORIZATION CAN PRODUCE LARGE SWINGS IN OUTLAYS BOTH IN THE BUDGET AND OUT YEARS. FOR EXAMPLE, LARGE CREDIT OUTLAYS IN 1979 AND 1980, COUPLED WITH A CUTBACK IN 1981 WILL PRODUCE A LARGE NEGATIVE OUTLAY (NET RECEIPTS) IN 1981.

RECOMMENDATION FOR SHORT-TERM EXPORT CREDIT.

|         | 1977 | 1978  | 1979  | 1980  | 1981  | 1982  |
|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| LEVEL   | 850  | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,250 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| OUTLAYS | 361  | 694   | 367   | -207  | -637  | -437  |

SMALL AGENCIES

### Commodity Futures Trading Commission 1979 Budget Summary Comparison of Agency Totals

### Comments

The Commission's request proposed a supplemental of \$971K in FY 1978 and a further increase of \$891K in FY 1979 to initiate a pilot program to regulate commodity options trading. It also requested an additional \$1,876K in FY 1979 to strengthen agency work. OMB recommends approval of the funds for the commodity options program and an additional \$200K for largely mandated increases. The agency probably will not appeal.

### (Dollars in thousands)

|                     | 1977 19 |                  |        |      | 979    | 1980        | 1981             |
|---------------------|---------|------------------|--------|------|--------|-------------|------------------|
|                     | Act.    | Req.             | Recom. | Req. | Recom. | <u>Est.</u> | Est.             |
| Budget authority    | 14,004  | 14,167<br>14,066 | 14,066 |      | •      |             | 15,159<br>15,160 |
| Full-time permanent | 445     | 500<br>440       | 500    | 577  | 500    |             |                  |
| Total OMB ceiling   | 4.58    | 513<br>453       | 513    | 602  | 513    |             |                  |

### Federal Support of Basic Research Programs 1979 Presidential Review

### Table of Contents

|       |                                   | <u>Page</u> |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| TAB A | Overview Paper                    | 1           |
| TAB B | National Science Foundation (NSF) | 3           |

### OVERALL FEDERAL FUNDING OF BASIC RESEARCH 1979 Budget

### Comments

In keeping with guidance given in the Spring Preview, OMB has paid special attention to the funding of basic research in its review of agency budget submissions and to assuring "real" growth in the overall level of Federal support, particularly in areas related to critical national problems. OMB now estimates that there will be an increase of 10.7 percent in obligations (4.5 percent above inflation) and 11.9 percent in outlays (5.5 percent above inflation) in the overall level of Federal support.

This increase will continue actions taken in the 1977 and 1978 budgets to reverse the decline of about 20 percent in "real" terms that took place from 1967 to 1976. Assuring "real" growth will allow more opportunities for young researchers to undertake basic research and encourage researchers to consider more innovative projects. OMB and OSTP are not recommending an announced fixed percentage increase policy -- this year -- pending further analysis of agency and national needs by OSTP, and analysis of the role of the private sector in support of basic research.

Policy alternatives to encourage growth in industry funding of basic research are being examined but this subject needs further analysis as part of a Policy Review Memorandum study of overall industry funding for research and development--now underway.

The attached table displays estimates—by major R&D agency—of the amounts for basic research included in agency requests and the levels resulting from OMB recommendations.

|  |       |       | 19          | 79       | Percent Increase 1978-79 |               |  |
|--|-------|-------|-------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------|--|
| (Dollars in millions)                              | 1977  | 1978  | Agency Req. | OMB Rec. | Agency Req.              | OMB Rec.      |  |
| Obligations, total: - Constant dollars             | 2,840 | 3,184 | 3,446       | 3,526    | 8.2%<br>2.1%             | 10.7%<br>4.5% |  |
| Outlays, total: - Constant dollars Current dollars | 2,698 | 2,993 | 3,317       | 3,348    | 10.8%<br>4.6%            | 11.9%<br>5.5% |  |

### Overall Federal Funding of Basic Research (dollars in millions)

|  |  | Percent<br>Increase                    |   | 10  | 979   | Percent Increase<br>1978-79                              |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|  | <u>1977</u>                                  |  | 1977-78   | Agency Req.                                   | OMB Rec.                                      | Req.   | OMB Rec.   |  |
| Obligations, current dollars                           | 2840   | 3184                                   | 12.1%   | 3446  | 3526  | 8.2%   | 10.7%  |  |
| HEW<br>(NIH)<br>(Other)                                | 741<br>(659)<br>(82)                         | 843<br>(747)<br>(96)                   | 13.8%<br>(13.4%)<br>(17.1%)                               | 861<br>(758)<br>(103)                         | 973<br>(850)<br>(123)                         | 2.1%<br>(1.5%)<br>(7.3%)                                 | 15.4%<br>(13.8%)<br>(28.1%)                            |  |
| NSF<br>NASA<br>DOE<br>DOD<br>USDA<br>Interior<br>Other | 628<br>387<br>388<br>274<br>194<br>130<br>98 | 682<br>447<br>418<br>304<br>225<br>157 | 8.6%<br>15.5%<br>7.7%<br>10.9%<br>16.0%<br>20.8%<br>10.2% | 733<br>485<br>468<br>354<br>257<br>166<br>122 | 743<br>481<br>460<br>330<br>244<br>170<br>125 | 7.5%<br>8.5%<br>12.0%<br>16.4%<br>14.2%<br>5.7%<br>13.0% | 8.9%<br>7.6%<br>10.0%<br>8.6%<br>8.4%<br>8.3%<br>15.7% |  |
| Outlays, current dollars                               | 2698   | 2993                                   | 10.9%   | 3317  | 3348  | 10.8%  | 11.9%  |  |
|  | Con  | stant Do                               | ollar Equ   | ivalents ("rea                                | l" growth)                                    |  |  |  |
| Obligations, all agencies                              |  |  | 5.6%  |   |   | 2.1%   | 4.5%   |  |
| Outlays, all agencies                                  |  |  | 4.4%  |   |   | 4.6%   | 5.5%   |  |

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION 1979 Budget Summary Comparison of Agency Totals

### Comments

An increase of 7 percent was requested for basic research programs within the planning ceiling, as well as larger increases for research applications and for science education. Above the planning ceiling, the agency requested an additional \$151 million for various research and education programs. The overall OMB recommendation holds to the planning ceiling, but shifts funds from research applications and science education (where program needs have not been well defined) to basic research programs to provide some "real" growth for research in areas of national concern--and thereby contribute to the government-wide strategy of "real" growth (above cost increases) in basic research. The agency also requested 102 full-time permanent positions. OMB recommends reducing full-time staff by ten positions, and believes that the Foundation's staffing problems are more a matter of internal management attention and capability than of increasing overall agency workload. An appeal is anticipated.

### (Dollars in millions)

| •                                  |       |                |        |         | 1979           |        |       |       |
|------------------------------------|-------|----------------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|-------|-------|
|                                    | 1977  | 19             | 1978   |         | Agency Req.    |        | 1980  | 1981  |
|                                    | Act.  | Req.           | Recom. | High    | In-ceiling     | Recom. | Est.  | Est.  |
| Budget authority                   | 778.6 | 861.3          | 843.9  | 1,074.0 | 923.0          | 923.0  | 923.0 | 923.0 |
| Outlays OMB planning target        | 746.0 | 820.3          | 8.808  | 926.3   | 865.0<br>865.0 | 865.0  | 885.0 | 910.0 |
| <pre>End-of-year employment:</pre> |       |                |        |         |                |        |       |       |
| Full-time permanent OMB ceiling    | 1,257 | 1,275<br>1,275 | 1,275  | 1,480   | 1,377          | 1,265  | 1,265 | 1,265 |
| Total                              | 1,620 | 1,648<br>1,660 | 1,648  | 1,747   | 1,725          | 1,625  | 1,625 | 1,625 |

|              | NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATE 1979 Budget | Summary Comparison of Agency Ranking and OMB Recommendation | BA in milli | ions of \$ |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------|------------|
| gency        |                                       | Kanking and Orb Recommendation                              | Agency      | OMB        |
| anking       | Budget Activity                       | Comment   | Request     | Recom      |
| -3           | Staffing & Admin.                     | Various levels of staffing, including increase of 102 FTP.  | 55.3        | 52.3       |
| -6           | Basic Research                        | Amounts to a 15% reduction in level of effort.              | 546.7       | 546.7      |
|              | Science Ed. (manpower)                | Program at undergraduate level terminated.                  | 29.4        | 29.4       |
| .9           | Int'l & Misc.                         | International activities & policy analysis cutback.         | 20.4        | 20.4       |
| Ó            | Antarctic                             | Station at South Pole would be closed.                      | 42.8        | 42.8       |
| i            | Science Ed. (materials)               | Lab equipment, teaching materials, etc. reduced.            | 27.9        | 27.9       |
| 2 .          | Applied Research                      | Several areas reduced, including earthquake research.       | 62.9        | 50.0       |
| 3            | Institutional Grants                  | Size of average grant reduced 12%.                          | 4.0         |            |
| 4            | Science Ed. (R&D)                     | Research effort emphasized, development reduced.            | 8.2         | 8.2        |
| 5            | Science Ed. (gen. public)             | FY 1978 level maintained.                                   | 5.1         | 5.1        |
| 6            | Int'l & Misc.                         | International activities & policy analysis increased.       | 2.4         | 2.4        |
| 7            | NSF Planning & Eval.                  | FY 1978 level maintained.                                   | 0.5         | 0.5        |
| B- <b>20</b> | Basic Research                        | Amounts to 6% reduction due to cost increases.              | 51.6        | 51.6       |
| 1            | Science Ed. (materials)               | Programs for 2- and 4-year colleges increased.              | 2.1         | 2.1        |
| 2            | Science Ed. (R&D)                     | FY 1978 level maintained.                                   | 0.5         | 0.5        |
| 3            | Institutional Grants                  | FY 1978 level maintained.                                   | 0.5         |            |
| 1            | Antarctic                             | Remote station operated at min. levels.                     | 4.7         | 4.7        |
| 5-27         | Basic Research                        | Adjusts overall level for cost increases.                   | 36.8        | 36.8       |
| В            | Science Ed. (R&D)                     | Increases for research emphasized.                          | 3.3         |            |
| •            | Science Ed. (materials)               | Emphasis on minority schools.                               | 5.5         |            |
| )            | Applied Research                      | Increase for earthquake research.                           | 4.0         | 5.0        |
| 1            | Antarctic                             | Aircraft and instruments upgraded.                          | 2.4         | 2.4        |
| one          | Foreign Currency                      | Overseas research, translation of scientific books.         | 6.0         | 6.0        |
|              | ***                                   | Planning Ceiling \$923. OM                                  |             |            |
| 2-34         | Basic Research                        | Increases above cost-of-living in all fields of science.    | 25.4        | 23.8       |
| 5            | Science Ed. (materials)               | Additional lab equipment for 2- and 4-year colleges.        | 1.6         |            |
| i            | Science Ed. (gen. public)             | New science TV program for children.                        | 4.4         | 4.4        |
| •            | Science Ed. (manpower)                | Increased teacher training, guidance for min. students.     | 3.8         |            |
| 3            | Int'l & Misc.                         | Additional science information research.                    | 0.5         |            |
| 9-41         | Basic Research                        | Increases in several fields of science.                     | 13.9        |            |
| 2,43         | Science Ed. (2 areas)                 | Additional R&D, support of 2- and 4-year colleges.          | 3.8         |            |
| 1            | Staffing & Admin.                     | 58 additional FTP positions.                                | 2.2         | -          |
| 5            | NSF Planning & Eval.                  | Additional policy studies & forums.                         | 0.5         | ** **      |
| 5            | Int'l & Misc.                         | Planning studies for international activities.              | 0.8         | -          |
| 7            | Applied Research                      | Initial work on defining new research areas.                | 2.6         |            |
| 3-50         | Basic Research                        | Increases in several fields of science.                     | 58.3        |            |
| 1-64         | Various                               | Mixture of programs other than basic research,              | 33.2        |            |

•

,

.

•

### Energy and Science Division Small Agencies 1979 Presidential Review

### Table of Contents

|       |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| TAB A | Nuclear Regulatory Commission                             | 1    |
| •     | - Issue Paper #1: Reactor Inspection Program              | 3    |
| TAB B | Tennessee Valley Authority                                | , 5  |
| :     | - Discussion Paper: National Energy Demonstration Program | 6    |
| TAB C | Smithsonian Institution                                   | 9    |
| TAB D | National Gallery of Art                                   | 11   |
| TAB E | Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars          | 13   |
| TAB F | Office of Science and Technology Policy                   | 15   |

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 1979 Budget Summary Comparison of Agency Totals

### Comments

Nuclear Regulatory Commission requested personnel increases to implement a comprehensive reactor inspection program (See Issue #1), to handle more generic nuclear safety issues, to increase work on nuclear waste storage and disposal, to prepare to license a permanent waste disposal facility in 1985, to reduce a serious backlog in radioisotope license applications, and to handle expeditiously additional administrative work. The agency also requested additional funds to perform reactor safety research. OMB recommends no increase in the reactor inspection program; small increases for waste storage, radioisotope licensing and administrative activities, and a reallocation of effort from reviewing new reactor license applications (which have decreased significantly in the past 5 years), to work on generic safety issues. The NRC is certain to appeal the OMB recommendation on inspectors because of their interpretation of the President's recent nuclear policy statements on inspection activities, and will most likely appeal for the additional administrative positions. While OMB recognizes its recommendation imposes significant personnel restraints on the agency, we believe it will in no way jeopardize the health and safety of the public, the timing of the licensing process or the President's commitment to enhance reactor inspections.

|  | 1977           | 1978           |                |                | 197            | 79             | 1980           | 1981           |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| ·  | Est.           | Approp.        | Req.           | Recom.         | Req.           | Recom.         | Est.           | Est.           |
| Budget authority(\$M) Outlays (\$ M) OMB planning target End-of-year employment: |                | 281.4<br>267.1 | 288.7<br>271.4 | 248.1<br>268.6 | 349.5<br>323.2 | 340.9<br>296.0 | 328.0<br>314.0 | 348.0<br>333.0 |
| Full-time permanent OMB ceiling  | 2,529<br>2,529 | 2,720          | 2,754<br>2,720 | 2,688          | 2,999          | 2,736          |                |                |
| Total<br>OMB ceiling   | 2,829<br>2,829 | 3,020          | 3,054<br>3,020 | 2,988          | 3,299          | 3,036          |                |                |

### Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1979 Budget

## Summary Comparison of Agency Ranking and OMB Recommendations (in millions of dollars)

| Agency               |  | Budge             | t Authority           |
|----------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Ranking<br>Number    | Package Description                                    | Agency<br>Request | OMB<br>Recommendation |
| 1-23                 | ·  | 120.5             | 112.4                 |
| 24<br>25-60          | Vendor Inspections                                     | 1.2<br>144.0      | <br>144.0             |
| 61                   | Reactor Inspections                                    | 1.2               | w                     |
| 62 <b>-</b> 67<br>68 | Reactor Inspections                                    | 2.5<br>8          | 2.5                   |
| 69 <b>-7</b> 5<br>76 | Loss of Fluid Test Research                            | 8.6<br>4.0        | 8.6<br>2.2            |
| 77-98                |  | 18.7              | 18.7                  |
| 99<br>100-107        | Technical Projects                                     | 1.3<br>1.7        | 1.0                   |
| 108<br>109-110       | Fuel Behavior Research<br>Systems Engineering Research | 2.0<br>15.8       | 1.4<br>10.0           |
| 111-113<br>114       | Reactor Inspections                                    | 2.4               | 0.1                   |
| 115                  | Radioisotope Licensing<br>Reactor Standards            | .1<br>.3          |                       |
| 116-122<br>123       | Safety Research<br>Fuel Facility Standards             | 21.2<br>.2        | 16.6<br>.2            |
| 124<br>125-128       | Breeder Reactor Research                               | 2.5<br>.6         | <br>.6                |
| 120-120              |  | 349.6             | 318.3                 |
|                      | Full-Funding New Safety Research Facility              | ,                 | 22.6<br>340.9         |

Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1979 Budget <u>Issue Paper</u> Reactor Inspection Program

### Background

NRC's Office of Inspection and Enforcement (IE) is responsible for determining whether industry operations are being conducted in compliance with licenses issued by NRC and for recommending license amendments based on licensee performance. IE inspectors do not perform the actual inspection of nuclear facilities. Through observing the licensees' operators and inspectors, reviewing records, testing equipment and materials and receiving reports of abnormal occurrences, IE ascertains whether a licensee's own internal inspection responsibility is being properly and effectively conducted.

OMB has conducted a thorough zero-based review of the inspection effort, taking into account IE's projection of the number of nuclear facilities to be inspected in FY 1979 and the inspection workload per facility. Our final recommendation—to hold the inspection effort to the FY 1978 level—is based on several major policy differences which we have with NRC:

- 1. The President requested NRC "to assign one permanent Federal inspector to each nuclear power plant"; Dr. Schlesinger's staff defined this to mean reactors in <u>late</u> construction and commercial operation. NRC's request assumes additional resident inspectors will be placed on sites with reactors under <u>early</u> construction. NRC statistics show that resident inspectors on sites in <u>late</u> construction and commercial operation—where the frequency of inspection is now high—reduces the total need for inspectors. (Late construction covers the last two years of reactor construction which are the most critical for assuring safe operation.) On the other hand, on sites with reactors in early construction—where fewer inspectors are required to assure public health and safety—the use of resident inspectors increases the total personnel requirements. In FY 1979, 14 full—time personnel can be saved by eliminating resident inspectors on early construction sites. By FY 1981, over 150 full—time personnel may be saved under the OMB recommended program.
- 2. NRC requested a Performance Appraisal Team as part of its Resident Inspector program. In FY 1979, a team of 33 full-time personnel is proposed to assure that the 45 resident inspectors maintain their objectivity in performing their work. OMB recommends that no Performance Appraisal people be hired in FY 1979 because it has not been demonstrated that (1) a problem of inspector objectivity exists, (2) the benefits of a Performance Appraisal Team are clearly established and (3) there are not other more efficient ways to assure inspector objectivity.

3. NRC began an experimental program in 1975 of 35 people to inspect the internal quality control systems of nuclear component vendors (e.g. pump manufacturers) and nuclear architect-engineers. Each year this program has been held at this level (ZBB decision unit ranked 24 out of 128) with the promise from NRC that a thorough analysis would be done to demonstrate the health and safety benefits of the program. This analysis has not been done, and there is apparently no effort underway to complete the study. On this basis and with the belief that there are few, if any, safety benefits to vendor inspections because utilities already inspect the reactor components before taking delivery, OMB recommends deletion of the entire vendor and architect-engineer inspection program in FY 1978 and FY 1979.

### Statement of Issue

How should the NRC reactor inspection program be structured?

### **Alternatives**

- 1. Continue the current inspection program plus resident inspectors on-sites with reactors under late construction and commercial operation; eliminate vendor inspectors. (OMB rec.)
- 2. Implement a comprehensive Resident Inspector Program and retain vendor inspectors. (NRC req.)

| Inspection and Enforcement: (\$ M)      | <u>1977</u> | <u>1978</u> | <u>1979</u> | 1980   | <u>1981</u> | 1982   | <u>1983</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|
| Alt. #1 (OMB rec.) Budget authority FTP | \$24.3      | \$26.6      | \$26.5      | \$27.8 | \$29.2      | \$30.7 | \$32.2      |
|   | 589         | 680         | 680         | 715    | 750         | 787    | 825         |
| Alt. #2 (NRC req.) Budget authority FTP | \$24.3      | \$29.6      | \$36.1      | \$41.2 | \$45.1      | \$48.2 | \$50.6      |
|   | 589         | 726         | 860         | 983    | 1074        | 1148   | 1205        |

## TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY 1979 Budget Summary Comparison of Agency Totals

### Comments

TVA requests only modest increases in its ongoing programs. It has, however, included a request for \$166 million in appropriated funds to initiate a major R&D effort called the "National Energy Demonstration Program." OMB recommends—and the Department of Energy (DOE) concurs—that DOE funds rather than direct appropriations to TVA be used to finance those proposals which would maximize net National benefit. Therefore, the \$166 million is not recommended. TVA is likely to appeal this recommendation (see attached discussion paper). The balance of the reductions from the TVA request are primarily in two water projects. The recommended personnel increases are associated with the TVA power plant construction and operation program.

### (Dollars in Millions)

|   | 1977          | 1978                         |                             | 19                        | 79           | 1980         | 1981         |
|---|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|   | <u>Actual</u> | Request                      | Recom.                      | Request                   | Recom.       | Est.         | Est.         |
| Budget authority Outlays OMB planning ceiling End-of-year Employment: | 126<br>1,100  | 139<br>1,186<br>(Not applica | 139<br>1,186<br>ble to this | 314<br>1,556<br>s agency) | 124<br>1,424 | 106<br>1,710 | 107<br>1,610 |
| Full-time permanent OMB ceiling                                       | 17,060        | 17,334<br>17,334             | 17,334                      | 18,615                    | 18,150       |              |              |
| Total   | 37,947        | 40,950<br>35,402             | 40,950                      | 42,665                    | 42,048       |              |              |

### Tennessee Valley Authority 1979 Budget: Discussion Item National Energy Demonstration Program

In response to an April 15, 1977 letter from the President to TVA Chairman Wagner, the agency has submitted a 1979 budget request for \$166 million to fund an energy research, development and demonstration program. This program is intended to build on TVA experience and expertise as the Nation's largest electric utility to help evaluate and implement various elements of the National Energy Plan. TVA has requested direct Federal appropriations—as opposed to using its own funds from sale of electricity—on the premise that these projects will be of benefit to the entire Nation and would not be economically justified for TVA rate-payers alone to bear the cost.

The specific TVA proposals are being actively explored by the Department of Energy. OMB sees TVA as a good place to do some of this work and is working with DOE and the Environmental Protection Agency on a project-by-project evaluation. Preliminary results of this screening suggest that some of the proposals are viable candidates for funding while others are not.

OMB and DOE have agreed that in order to preserve the basic principle on which DOE was founded-centralized funding and direction of energy R&D--funding for those projects selected for development should be provided by DOE. Secretary Schlesinger wrote Chairman Wagner on October 8 to initiate the process of setting up a funding transfer system. DOE/TVA cooperation will be assured most probably in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding. This will take time; of course, as DOE is still getting itself organized.

OMB will monitor the DOE/TVA relationship to assess the results of the cooperative effort. Should further analysis indicate that direct funding of TVA is warranted, OMB will, of course, take whatever steps are necessary.

### Tennessee Valley Authority 1979 Budget

## Summary Comparison of Agency Ranking and OMB Recommendations (In millions of dollars)

| Agency            |    |   |   | 0b11ga            | tions                    |
|-------------------|----|---|---|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Ranking<br>Number |    | Package Description                             |   | Agency<br>Request | OMB<br>Recom.            |
| 1-69              |    | Packages are distributed across agency          |   | 4,354             | 4,354                    |
| 70-72             |    | Energy Demo (Coal)                              |   | 7                 | 1/                       |
| 73                |    | Energy Demo (Ammonia from Coal)                 |   | 7                 | 7                        |
| 74-75             | .* | Misc. Regional Development                      |   | 1                 | 1                        |
| 76                |    | Pickwick Lock                                   |   | - 10              | A                        |
| 77                |    | Navigation Operations                           |   | <u>2</u> /        | 3/                       |
| 78                |    | Land Between the Lakes                          |   | 2 -               | · -                      |
| 79-95             |    | Packages are distributed across agency          |   | 10                | 10                       |
| 96                |    | Land Between the Lakes Operations               |   | 1                 |                          |
| 97                |    | Columbia Dam                                    |   | 18                | 7 2                      |
| 98-102            |    | Misc. Regional Development                      |   | . 3               | 2                        |
| 103               |    | Poor Valley Creek                               |   | <u>2/</u>         | · <del></del>            |
| 104-105           |    | Energy Demo (Solar, Conservation)               |   | 4                 | <u>1</u> /               |
| 106               |    | Urea Polyphosphate Unit (Fertilizer Production) |   | 2                 | 2 -                      |
| 107               |    | Energy Demo (Coal)                              |   | 2                 | 1/                       |
| 108               |    | Chemical Fertilizer R&D                         |   | 2/                | <del>-</del>             |
| 109-110           |    | Misc. Regional Development                      |   | <del>2</del> /    | 3/                       |
| 111               |    | <ul> <li>Energy Demo (Waste Heat)</li> </ul>    |   | 22 -              | T/                       |
| 112-113           |    | Misc. Regional Development                      |   | 2/                |                          |
| 114               |    | Valley Agricultural Development                 |   | <u> 2</u> /       | <u>3</u> /               |
| 115               |    | Bridgeport Bridge                               |   | 2 -               | 2 -                      |
| 116               |    | Misc. Regional Development                      |   | <u>2</u> /        | <del>-</del>             |
| 117-118           |    | Energy Demo (Coal)                              | , | 13 -              | 2/                       |
| 119               |    | Regional Water Quality Management               |   | 2/                | <b></b> $\overline{3}$ / |
| 120-121           |    | Energy Demo (Coal, Solar)                       |   |                   |                          |
| 122               |    | Regional Economic Studies and Development       |   | <u>2</u> /        |                          |
| 123-125           |    | Energy Demo (Conservation, Coal)                |   | 2                 | 1/                       |

| Agency<br>Ranking<br><u>Number</u>  | Package Description  | Obliga<br>Agency<br>Request         | OMB<br>Recom.                            |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| 126<br>127-129<br>130<br>131-135<br>136-141<br>142-144<br>145-146<br>147-148<br>149-160 | Navigation Operations Energy Demo (Conservation, Coal) Regional Water Quality Management Misc. Regional Development Energy Demo (Coal, Solar) Energy Demo (Ammonia from Coal) Energy Demo (Waste Heat) Energy Demo (Strip Mine Reclamation) Energy Demo (Load Management, Power Pool, Storage) | 2/<br>11 2/<br>10 49<br>4 33<br>6 6 | 1/<br>3/ D<br>1/<br>1/<br>1/<br>1/<br>1/ |

Will be evaluated and potentially funded by DOE. Request less than \$1 million.
Included - less than \$1 million.

A - If permitted additional funding, this should be the first to be added to the recommendation. D - If permitted less funding, this should be the first to be dropped from the recommendation.

### SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION 1979 Budget Summary Comparison of Agency Totals

### Comments

Smithsonian requested increases to support more research on environmental and natural resources matters, to continue modernizing buildings and facilities (particularly the National Zoo), and to expand ongoing educational and exhibit programs. In addition, the agency requested funds to draw up detailed construction plans for a \$21 million off-Mall center for storing, conserving and handling its many collections. OMB recommends small increases for a number of items, including buildings modernization and environmental research, but no significant expansion of educational and exhibit programs. The OMB recommendation includes funds for planning the center, on the basis that the \$21 million will be provided in the FY 1980 budget. Smithsonian is likely to agree to the overall recommendation but may appeal for an increase in staff. OMB believes that the agency has sufficient flexibility and vacant positions within its current ceiling to make tradeoffs against programs being phased down.

### (Dollars in millions)

|   | 1977  | 19             | 978              | 19                  | 79                   | 1980          | 1981        |
|---|-------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------|
|   | Act.  | Req.           | Recom.           | Req.                | Recom.               | Est.          | <u>Est.</u> |
| Budget authority  | 96.7  | 95.3           | 95.3             | 104.0               | 100.4                | 121.0         | 103.4       |
| Outlays   |       | 103.4          | 103.4<br>(Not ap | 102.9<br>plicable t | 100.0<br>to this age | 107.7<br>ncy) | 113.7       |
| End-of-year Employment: Full-time permanent OMB ceiling |       | 3,314<br>3,314 | 3,314            | 3,401               | 3,314                |               |             |
| Total OMB ceiling                                       | 3,398 | 3,679<br>3,679 | 3,679            | 3,766               | 3,679                | d. Martin     |             |

# SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION 1979 Budget Summary Comparison of Agency Ranking and OMB Recommendations

(In millions of dollars)

| Agency  |                  |           |  | Outla   | ays    | FTP Emp1 | oyment             |
|---------|------------------|-----------|--|---------|--------|----------|--------------------|
| Ranking | Packa            | ge Descri | otion                                    | Agency  | OMB    | Agency   | OMB                |
| Number  | Туре             | Level     |  | Request | Recom. | Request  | Recom.             |
| 1       | Op. expenses     | Min.      | Reductions in all programs               | 80.4    | 80.4   | 3,034    | 3,034              |
| . 2     | Construction     | Min.      | Essential repairs only                   | 5.0     | 5.0    |          |                    |
| 3       | Op. expenses     | Int.      | Restoration of certain reductions        | 6.8     | 6.8    | 266      | 266                |
| 4       | Construction     | Int.      | Fire, safety, animal health items        | 0.9     | 0.9    |          |                    |
| 5       | Sci. Info. Exch. | Min.      | Handling Fed. projects only              | 1.6     | 1.6    |          |                    |
| 6       | Op. expenses     | Cur.      | Includes several program changes         | 0.9     | 0.9    | 28       | 14                 |
| 7       | Op. expenses     | Cur.      | Scheduled pay increases, other items     | 1.3     | 1.3    | 6        |                    |
| 8       | Sci. Info. Exch. | Int.      | Scheduled pay increases                  | 0.1     | 0.1    |          |                    |
| 9       | Construction     | Cur.      | Zoo redevelopment, continuing renovation | n 1.6   | 1.6    | ·        |                    |
| 10      | Op. expenses     | Imp.      | Long-term research, libraries            | 0.6     | 0.3    | 4        |                    |
| 11      | Op. expenses     | Imp.      | Maintenance, guards, admin.              | 0.7     |        | 40       | -,-                |
| 12      | Construction     | Imp.      | Upgrading of five facilities             | 0.6     | 0.2    |          |                    |
| 13      | Op. expenses     | Imp.      | Long-term research (environ./resources)  | 0.4     | 0.4    | 22       |                    |
| 14      | Op. expenses     | Imp.      | Exhibits and education programs          | 0.2     |        | 1        |                    |
| 15      | Op. expenses     | Imp.      | Art acquisitions and storage             | 0.1     |        |          |                    |
| 16      | Op. expenses     | Imp.      | Replace arts and humanities grants       | 0.5     |        |          |                    |
| 17      | Sci. Info. Exch. | Cur.      | Process non-Federal projects             | 0.2     | 0.2    |          |                    |
| 18      | Sci. Info. Exch. | Imp.      | Revise indexing, reduce input costs      | 0.3     | 0.3    |          | ·                  |
| 19      | Sci. Info. Exch. | Imp.      | Pilot on-line program w/Fed. agencies    | 0.7     |        |          |                    |
| 1.7     | Agit imiga mydus |           | i i i a a a i i i i a bi a a i a a a a a | 102.9 * | 100.0* | 3.401    | $3.\overline{314}$ |

Key and notes:

Sci. Info. Exch. = Science Information Exchange

Min. = Minimum level package Int. = Intermediate level package Cur. = Current level package

Imp. = Improvement level package

<sup>\*</sup> Totals do not include Special Foreign Currency funds of \$4.7 million (BA) and \$4.2 million (outlays). Op. expenses = Salaries, utilities, other operating expenses. Construction = New construction, repair and renovation.

### NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART 1979 Budget Summary Comparison of Agency Totals

### Comments

The Gallery requested an increase of \$5,201,000 for staffing, furnishings, operations and maintenance, and major art exhibitions in the new East Building which is scheduled to open to the public in June 1978. The cost of the East Building is about \$95 million, provided entirely from private donations. The Federal Government has had the statutory responsibility to equip and operate the Gallery since 1941. OMB mark provides for an increase of \$3,456,000 in FY 1979 principally related to the new East Building. The Gallery may appeal—particularly on staffing—although OMB believes that the additional 63 positions recommended is sufficient. The increase in personnel is essentially all related to the operations of the East Building.

### (Dollars in thousands)

|   |                     |                  |                              |                                |                              | 1                   |                     |
|---|---------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|   | 1977<br><u>Act.</u> | Req.             | 1978 <u>Recom</u> .          | 19<br><u>Req.</u>              | Recom.                       | 1980<br><u>Est.</u> | 1981<br><u>Est.</u> |
| Budget authority Outlays OMB planning ceiling |                     | 14,509<br>15,262 | 14,509<br>15,262<br>(Not app | 19,710<br>19,030<br>licable to | 17,965<br>17,375<br>this age |                     | 17,900<br>17,900    |
| End-of-year Employment: Full-time permanent   | 498<br>501          | 659<br>659       | 659                          | 760                            | 722                          | 1.                  | ٠.                  |
| Total   | 509<br>512          | 672<br>672       | 672                          | 773                            | 735                          | :                   |                     |

### National Gallery of Art 1979 Budget

# Summary Comparison of Agency Ranking and OMB Recommendations (In thousands of dollars)

| Agency                   |  | Out1              | ays         | FTP Employment    |             |  |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| Ranking<br><u>Number</u> | Package Description                                    | Agency<br>Request | OMB<br>Rec. | Agency<br>Request | OMB<br>Rec. |  |
| 1                        | Old bldg. and open new bldg. at minimum level          | 16,661            | 16,281      | 682               | 670         |  |
| 2                        | Increase art display to adequate level in new building | +550              | +550        | 18                | 18          |  |
| 3                        | Security and protection for increased art display      | +260              | +260        | 19                | 19          |  |
| 4                        | Building operations for increased art display          | +251              | +251        | 12                | 12          |  |
| 5.                       | Administration for increased art display               | +123              | + 33        | 3                 | 3           |  |
| 6                        | Conduct art display at full capacity                   | +1,050            |             | 16                |             |  |
| 7                        | Protection at full capacity                            | +40               |             |                   |             |  |
| 8                        | Operations at full capacity                            | +28               |             | 3                 | <u>-</u> -  |  |
| 9                        | Administration at full capacity                        | +67               |             | 7                 |             |  |
|                          |  | 19,030            | 17,375      | 760               | 722         |  |

<sup>1/</sup> The OMB recommendation does not include an amount for the effect in 1979 of the October 1977 pay raise. An amount will be added later following guidance from OMB to the agencies.

# WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS 1979 Budget Summary Comparison of Agency Totals

### Comments

The Center requested an increase of \$128,000 to renovate the Smithsonian Tower for additional library and office space, to cover the October pay increase, and to provide federal funding for two additional scholars in Russian studies. The two scholars would increase federally supported scholars from 25 to 27 (an additional 13 scholars are supported from private funds). OMB recommendation would hold the number of federal scholars at the current level of 25 but allow for the other increases. (OMB agreed last year—in the 1978 budget—to hold to a long-term policy of 25 federally-funded scholars and to rely on private funds for any future augmentation. Staff funds were provided to raise additional private contributions.) The Center may appeal funding for the two scholars.

### (Dollars in thousands)

|   | 1977         |                | 1978                          |                              | 1979                          |                | 1981           |
|---|--------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|   | Act.         | Req.           | Recom.                        | Req.                         | Recom.                        | Est.           | Est.           |
| Budget authority Outlays OMB planning ceiling End-of-year Employment: | 1,140<br>920 | 1,256<br>1,256 | 1,256<br>1,256<br>(Not applic | 1,384<br>1,384<br>cable to t | 1,317<br>1,317<br>his agency) | 1,287<br>1,287 | 1,287<br>1,287 |
| Full-time permanent   | 21<br>21     | 21<br>21       | 21                            | 21                           |                               |                |                |
| Total   | 23<br>23     | 23<br>23       | 23                            | 23                           |                               |                |                |

### Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars 1979 Budget

## Summary Comparison of Agency Ranking and OMB Recommendations (In thousands of dollars)

| Agency            |                                      | Out1              | ays                   |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Ranking<br>Number | Package Description                  | Agency<br>Request | OMB<br>Recommendation |
| 1                 | Minimum level budget $1/$            | 1,140             | 1,140                 |
| 2                 | Current level budget $\frac{2}{}$    | 116               | 116                   |
| 3                 | Improved level budget $\frac{3f}{2}$ | 128               | 61                    |
|                   |                                      | 1,384             | 1,317                 |

<sup>1/</sup> Reduce Federally supported fellows by 5 from 25 to 20.

<sup>2/</sup> Continue Federal support for 25 fellows.

<sup>3/</sup> Increase federally funded fellows by 2, renovate Smithsonian Tower, increase funding related to October pay raise.

## OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY 1979 Budget

### Summary Comparison of Agency Totals

### Comments

Primarily as a result of EXOP reorganization, the FY 1979 budget requested by OSTP is \$370,000 less than the FY 1978 appropriation. OMB recommends approval of the current level budget request. The nature of the tasks shifted to OSTP during the reorganization and its high level of activity under Dr. Press lead us to conclude that any further reductions could significantly impair the Office's ability to meet its objectives. The agency is not expected to appeal.

### (Dollars in thousands)

|                     | 1977<br>Est. | 197<br>Req.     | 78<br>Recom.    | 19<br>Req.     | 79<br>Recom.   | 1980<br>Est.   | 1981<br>Est.   |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Budget authority    | 2,300        | 2,800*<br>2,800 | 2,800*<br>2,800 | 2,430<br>2,430 | 2,430<br>2,430 | 2,430<br>2,430 | 2,430<br>2,430 |
| OMB planning target | <b>*</b> *   |                 |                 |                | is agency)     |                | •              |
| Full-time permanent | 22           | 22<br>22        | 22              | . 22           | 22             |                |                |
| TotalOMB ceiling    | 52           | 52<br>52        | 52              | 52             | 52             |                | <b>:</b>       |

<sup>\*</sup> A minimum of \$200,000 will be transferred to the National Science Foundation and to OMB as a result of the EXOP reorganization.

### Office of Science and Technology Policy 1979 Budget

# Summary Comparison of Agency Ranking and OMB Recommendations (In thousands of dollars)

| Agency            |  | Outlays           |                       |  |  |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Ranking<br>Number | Package Description                    | Agency<br>Request | OMB<br>Recommendation |  |  |
| 1                 | Minimum level                          | 2,100             | 2,100                 |  |  |
| 2                 | Current level (actual agency request)* | 330               | 330                   |  |  |
| 3                 | Augmented level                        | 370               |                       |  |  |
| . *               | •                                      |                   |                       |  |  |
|                   |  | 2,800             | 2,430                 |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Based on functions and staffing needs resulting from the EXOP reorganization.